



Asian Development Bank National Capital Region Planning Board

Capacity Development of the National Capital Region Planning Board Package 2 Component B TA No. 7055-IND

Volume V-C2:Economic & Financial Analysis Model DPR for Bus Terminal at Ghaziabad









July 2010

NCR Planning Board Asian Development Bank

# Capacity Development of the National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB) – Component B

(TA No. 7055-IND)

**FINAL REPORT** 

Volume V-C2: DPR for Construction of Bus Terminal at Ghaziabad

Economic & Financial Analysis

July 2010



## **Abbreviations**

ADB	:	Asian Development Bank
BOQ	:	Bill of Quantity
CAA	:	Constitutional Amendment Act
CAGR	:	Compound Annual Growth Rate
CDP	:	city development plan
CF	:	Conversion Factor
CNCR	:	Central National Capital Region
CPHEEO	:	Central Public Health and Environmental Engineering Organization
DSC	:	Design Supervision Consultant
EA,	:	Executing Agency
EIRR	:	economic internal rate of return
ENPV	:	economic net present value
EOCC	:	Economic Opportunity Cost of Capital
FY	:	Financial Year
FYP	:	Five Year Plan
GDA		Ghaziabad Development Authority
GNN		Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam
GoI	:	Government of India
GoUP	:	Government of Uttar Pradesh
HHs	:	Households
IA	:	Implementing Agency
IEC	:	Information, Education & Communication activities
JNNURM	:	Jawaharlal Nehru Urban Renewal Mission
LA	:	Land Acquisition
LPCD	:	Litres Per Capita per Day
MDG	:	Millennium Development Goals
NCR	:	National Capital Region
NCRPB,	:	National Capital Region Planning Board
NCT	:	National Capital Teritory
NH	:	National Highway
O&M	:	Operation and Maintenance
OR	:	operating ratio
PDA	:	Patiala Urban Planning & Development Authority
PIU	:	Project Implementation Unit
Rs.	:	Indian Rupee
SCF	:	Standard Conversion Factor
SFC	:	State Finance Commission
SWM	:	Solid Waste Management
TPI	:	Third Party Inspection
UGD	:	Under Ground Drainage
ULB	:	urban local bodies
UP	:	Uttar Pradesh

## **Contents**

1.	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	1
А.	Review of Macroeconomic Context	1
1.	. City / Town Profile	1
2.	Economic Policy	2
В.	Review of Sector Context	4
1.	Present Status	4
C.	Justification for Government Intervention to Sector	5
D.	Demand Analysis	6
1.	•	
2.		
E.	Identification of Project Rationale	
F.	Identification of Project Alternatives	
G.	Identification and Comparison of Project Costs and Benefits	
1.	· ·	
2.		
H.	Economic Feasibility Analysis	
1.	• •	
2.		
3.		
I.	Sensitivity Analysis	14
J.	Conclusion	15
2.	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS OF PROJECTS	16
A.	Introduction	16
В.	Financial Management Assessment	17
1.	Policy Context	17
2.	National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB)	20
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.	0	
7.		24
8.	-I	
	. Cost Recovery	24
9.	Cost Recovery     Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms	
9. 10	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li> <li>Accounting Policy</li> </ul>	24 25 25
10 1	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li> <li>Accounting Policy</li> <li>Financial Regulations</li> </ul>	
10 1	Cost Recovery Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms Accounting Policy Financial Regulations Financial Analysis	
10 1	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li> <li>0. Accounting Policy</li> <li>1. Financial Regulations</li> <li>Financial Analysis</li> <li>Present Financial Condition</li> </ul>	
10 11 C. 1. 2.	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li></ul>	
10 11 C. 1. 2. 3.	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li> <li>0. Accounting Policy</li> <li>1. Financial Regulations</li> <li>Financial Analysis</li> <li>Financial Condition</li> <li>Cost Recovery and Profitability</li> <li>Financial Projections with Bus Terminal Project Impact</li> </ul>	
10 11 C. 1. 2. 3. 4.	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li> <li>O. Accounting Policy</li> <li>1. Financial Regulations</li> <li>Financial Analysis</li> <li>Present Financial Condition</li> <li>Cost Recovery and Profitability</li> <li>Financial Projections with Bus Terminal Project Impact</li> <li>Financial Improvement Action Plan</li> </ul>	
10 11 C. 1. 2. 3.	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li> <li>Accounting Policy</li> <li>Financial Regulations</li> <li>Financial Analysis</li> <li>Present Financial Condition</li> <li>Cost Recovery and Profitability</li> <li>Financial Projections with Bus Terminal Project Impact</li> <li>Financial Improvement Action Plan</li> <li>Cost Benefit Analysis</li> </ul>	
10 11 C. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. D.	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li></ul>	
10 11 C. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	<ul> <li>Cost Recovery</li> <li>Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms</li></ul>	

### **Tables**

Table 1-1: Summary of Journey Speed Data.	9
Table 1-2: Sensitivity Analysis for Bus Terminal Subproject (EIRR)	14
Table 2-1: SFC Recommendations on Tax Sharing – Uttar Pradesh	18
Table 2-2: Tenth Plan Allocation for Urban Development in Uttar Pradesh	20
Table 2-3: Major head summary for the Budget Year 2009-2010 for Urban Development, Uttar	
Pradesh (Rs. Thousands)	20
Table 2-4: Institutions and Their Functions	22
Table 2-5: Proposed Entry Fee for Buses and other User Charges	24
Table 2-6: Estimation of Revenue from Commercial Space – Bus Terminal	25
Table 2-7: GNN Revenue Expenditure Account	28
Table 2-8: Details of Per Capita Revenue Income and Expenditure - Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam	31
Table 2-9: Details of Estimated User Charges Requirement for Bus Terminal Project- Ghaziabad	City
	35
Table 2-10: Estimation of Revenue from Commercial Space – Bus Terminal	35
Table 2-11: Financial Improvement Action Plan	36
Table 2-12: Weighted Average Cost of Capital (%) - Uttar Pradesh & Haryana	36
Table 2-13: Project Capital Cost - Rs Million	37
Table 2-14: Sensitivity Analysis Results	38

## **Appendices**

Economic Cost
Valuing Economic Benefits – Parking Project
Economic Cost-Benefit Analysis of Parking Project Component - Ghaziabad City
The Constitution (Seventy-Fourth Amendment) Act, 1992
NCRPB Income & Expenditure Account
Impact of 73rd & 74th Amendments on ULBs in Uttar Pradesh
Municipal Financial Performance – Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam
Projection of GNN Accounts & Project Cash Flows

### 1. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

#### A. Review of Macroeconomic Context

#### 1. City / Town Profile

- 1. Ghaziabad is one of the most important cities of UP sub-region of NCR and can easily be termed as Gateway of UP. It is located at about 22 kms. from NCT Delhi and is an important industrial and trading center in Delhi Metropolitan Area(DMA). The City is spread and developed on both the sides of River Hindan, an important tributary of River Yamuna. The city is bounded by the NCT Delhi in the west and NOIDA in south. This is an important town of U.P due to rapid growth of industrial, commercial activities
- 2. The status of Ghaziabad was upgraded from Municipal Board to Municipal Corporation, known as Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) on 31 August 1994 following 74th constitution Amendment Act 1992 and conformity legislation by state government. The Municipal administration has been decentralized in five zones and 80 administrative wards
- 3. Area of Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) was confined to the core area of the city i.e. only 63.94 sq km till 1991 with population of 5, 11,759 but by 2001, area increased to 171.43 sq km with census population as 9, 68,521.
- 4. The city is growing at a very high pace and the population base has increased from 0.5 to 1.0 million during 1991-2001. During the last twenty years the population concentration has been on the periphery of the municipal board boundary. The city is growing spatially. Private developers promoted by Public Private Partnership policy (PPP) are now supporting the urban development. The quality and quantity of housing is improving and reflecting the contribution of the private sector. Estimated population of GNN and GDA in 2041 is 4.4 million and 6.1 million respectively.
- 5. *Major Economic Activity.* The economy of the town has been bi-functional industries cum services since 1971. Industries form an important component of the economic base of the city. Ghaziabad is one of the largest industrial cities, next to Kanpur, in Uttar Pradesh. It is also an important centre for trade and commerce in western UP sub-region. Various products and equipments are supplied to the regional, national and international markets. The workforce participation rate and percentage workers in secondary sector are marginally declining but the size of work force in the city has maintained its increasing trend.
- 6. A number of famous major industries like Bharat Electronics Limited (a public sector unit of Central Government), UPTRON (a public sector unit of Uttar Pradesh Government), Dabur, Mohan Meakins, Gagan Vanaspati, Sri Ram Piston, Bhushan Steel and Weston Television are located in Ghaziabad. Sahaibabad industrial area and commercial activities are concentrated in the western part of the town. According to the statistics of the District

Industrial Centre, 106 units of medium and large industries employed 24,595 workers in 2001.

- 7. Number of small and medium industrial units was 13,720 in 2000 with 71,245 workers increased to 15,848 in 2002 with 87,832 workers. The main reason behind increase in small and medium industrial units in Ghaziabad is due to recent Hon'ble Supreme Court Order for shifting of polluting industries from NCT Delhi.
- 8. It is an important city in NCR area, which is being developed to decongest National Capital Delhi by improving infrastructure in NCR towns with the aim of shifting some of the offices and establishments of Government of India. With all the required facilities and proximity to Delhi, Ghaziabad has became one of the fast developing city in NCR.
- 9. Ghaziabad Development Authority (GDA) in coordination with the National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB) is responsible for the planned development of Ghaziabad city. As per the Master Plan of Ghaziabad, 2021 the total development area of Ghaziabad is 8455 hectares, of which 4670 hectares is under residential use constituting 55.03per cent of the developed land. This is followed by industrial use (20.16per cent) and 6.13 per cent use under the roads, bus stands. The master plan proposes land use plan for the city with the intention of achieving balanced distribution of various land uses.
- 10. The NCR Regional Plan was approved on 9th July 2005 has suggested for a better transport network with required infrastructure that should be planned for a minimum 20 years.
  - 2. Economic Policy
- 11. *Implication on Town's growth*. The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) (Goal No.7) enjoin upon the signatory nations requiring them "to halving the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation by 2015" and 100 percent access by 2025. This implies extending coverage to households which are presently without improved sanitation, and providing proper sanitation facilities in public places to make cities open-defecation free
- 12. *National Urban Sanitation Policy*. Based on the recommendations of National Urban Sanitation Task Force in 2005, a National Urban Sanitation Policy has been approved by the Government of India in October 2008. The vision of the policy is that all Indian cities and towns become totally sanitized, healthy and livable and ensure and sustain good public health and environmental outcomes for all their citizens with a special focus on hygienic and affordable sanitation facilities for the urban poor and women with the following goals:
  - Awareness Generation and Behavioral Change:
  - Open Defecation Free Cities:
  - Integrated City Wide Sanitation.
  - Sanitary and Safe Disposal: the environment

- Proper Operation and Maintenance of all Sanitary Installations:
- 13. Eleventh Five Year Plan of GoI with the support of states including UP have identified action program to achieve 100 per cent population coverage for sewerage, sewage treatment and low cost sanitation facilities in urban areas.
- 14. *National Urban Transport Policy*. Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India, has announced the much needed National Urban Transport Policy in 2008. The vision of the policy is that to make our cities the most livable in the world and enable them to become the "engines of economic growth" that power India's development in the 21st century and to allow our cities to evolve into an urban form that is best suited for the unique geography of their locations and is best placed to support the main social and economic activities that take place in the city. Major strategies of the policy include:
  - Integrating land use and transport planning
  - Equitable allocation of road space
  - Priority to the use of public transport
  - Quality and pricing of Public Transport
  - Technologies for Public Transport
  - Integrated public transport systems
  - Priority to non-motorized transport
  - Parking
  - Freight traffic
  - Capacity building
  - Use of cleaner technologies
  - Innovative financing mechanisms using land as a resource
  - Association of the private sector
- 15. The NCR Regional Plan 2021 defined Central NCR (CNCR) and area of NCR except CNCR i.e. outside CNCR and proposed 7 metro centres and 11 regional centres.
  Ghaziabad city (including Loni) has been proposed as a major metro centre within CNCR and population estimated is as follows: 1.9 million in 2011 and 30.19 million in (2021)
- 16. Ghaziabad Development Authority (GDA) is developing residential sectors with private sector participation along the highway corridors which will attract more related activities and will fasten the town growth.
- 17. Density norms suggested for residential purpose had increased to 200 persons per hectare in Regional Plan-2021 from 150 persons per hectare from earlier Plan. This will increase the residential density in the city in coming years.
- 18. Construction of expressways and four laning of the NH24 and NH 58 connecting Ghaziabad had attracted major educational, institutional, tourism and industrial activities. These together will have more pressure on Ghaziabad and subsequently for urban civic infrastructure including solid waste management.

#### **B.** Review of Sector Context

#### 1. Present Status

- 19. The important national Highways passing through the Ghaziabad city are NH-58 which goes to Merrut, NH-24 which goes to Hapur and NH-91 which goes to Sikandrabad. Along with these highways, there is Hapur bypass passing through Ghaziabad connecting Madan Mohan Malviya marg and NH 91. The Y junction on NH 24 connecting NH 58 (near Mahamaya sports stadium) has become the most critical intersection in the city. The modal distribution of traffic at this junction is a mix of all types of vehicles with HTV/commercial vehicles more during night and early morning hours. The GT road carries large volume of traffic to an extent that it has exceeded its capacity by 50-60%.
- 20. Ghaziabad is connected with the city bus service, shared auto service which constitutes a major portion of the transport along with cycle carts and private vehicles. The city being an industrial area, a large number of truck traffic can be observed all over the city.
- 21. Rapid urbanization has lead to a sharp increase in travel demand. While the road layout in the city is well-planned, efforts to improve the condition of non-arterial roads should continue. Presently the mass transport within and around or from Delhi to Ghaziabad is mainly handled by bus and private owned transport. However, owing to the limited accessibility and congestion, there is a large increase in personalized modes of transport and IPT modes like auto rickshaw in Ghaziabad.
- 22. *Existing Inadequacy Level.* Despite the increasing importance of the town in the economic growth of the national capital region, the urban infrastructure is not adequate. Existing transport system in the city is observed with many deficiencies which include the following major ones:
  - Inadequate and poor condition of internal roads
  - Virtually non-existent intra-city public transport.
  - Mofussil Bus Stand with inadequate facilities
  - Inadequate pedestrian facilities
  - Inadequate parking facilities resulting in haphazard on-street parking
  - Absence of Truck Terminal parking areas.
  - Inadequate facilities for non-motorized modes of transport
- 23. Urban transport infrastructure in Ghaziabad, like other cities, is handled by multiple agencies including GNN. State PWD, Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India, UP State Road Transport Corporation, Ghaziabad Development Authority, traffic Police are the other major agencies involved in planning and implementation of transport infrastructure in the city along with the private operators. Lack of coordination among these agencies to address the city transport problems is the

major issue in the sector.

- 24. GNN is responsible for development and maintenance of urban roads and other related issues like parking, goods traffic facilities, pedestrian facilities, traffic signals etc. Majority of the existing urban transport problems identified earlier were attributed to the urban roads under GNN and this may be due to inadequate provisions for this sector. Unfortunately the available data from GNN could not explain the present expenditure level for the development and maintenance of these urban roads.
- 25. Presently there are three bus terminals located at different locations (two operated by UP State Road Transport Corporation and one operated by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam for private buses) operating for different routes. Lack of integration between these terminals put the commuters and operators put into more difficulties that has resulted in much pressure on the urban transport service levels. Also the UPRSTC Terminal located in the CBD Area near GDA office is creating more traffic circulation problems.
- 26. Therefore, the inadequacy in provision of transport is likely to be the major constraint to the potential economic growth in the National Capital Region. Reduction of disparities through targeting less developed areas was a core element of the Government of India's 10th Five Year Plan (2002-2007). The objective of the 11th Five Year Plan is 'faster and more inclusive growth,' citing the issues on inequitable share of growth, which was seen as increasing disparities among states, and regions within states, between urban and rural areas, and between various sections of the community. In order to realize the Five Year Plans, investment into transport sector in Ghaziabad city is necessary.

#### C. Justification for Government Intervention to Sector.

- 27. The basic urban services include water supply, sewerage, drainage, transport and solid waste management (SWM). All of them are the mandatory functions of urban local bodies (ULB) under the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act (CAA). Unfortunately, immediate transfer of functions from the states to ULB is highly impractical due to the inadequate technical and financial strength of the ULB. Consequently, many of the state governments take initiative on capital investment and operation of water supply and sewerage while delegating SWM to ULB, which require less technical and financial strength than water supply and sewerage. In some states, the state governments take initiative on capital investment on water supply and sewerage projects and on completion of the construction they will be handed over to the ULBs for operation and maintenance, similar to UP. In UP, generally UP Jal Nigam will develop the sewage/SWM project and will hand over to ULB for O&M and this will be applicable to Ghaziabad SWM scheme also. In transport, ULBs will look after the internal road network and related issues through outsourcing and the major arterial roads will be the responsibility of technically equipped state PWD or central government agencies. Also the transport related infrastructure like off-street parking facilities, terminals for buses and goods vehicles etc are the responsibilities by ULBs.
- 28. In addition to being a constitutional obligation, provision of these services has economic rationales for government intervention for the following reasons: (i) many of the services

(especially wastewater treatment, drainage, urban roads and solid waste management) are natural monopolies unsuited to unregulated private investment, and hence justify government intervention at least in regulation; (ii) environmental sanitation improvement (a) protects a public good such as a hygienic environment, and (b) prevents a negative situation arising from pollution, traffic congestion; and (iii) poverty alleviation programs minimize the inefficiency in economic growth in the urban areas. The economic rationale for government intervention is therefore sound.

#### D. Demand Analysis

- 29. Service delivery is not commensurate with existing traffic scenario in Ghaziabad and the strategy adopted for selection of urban transport and roads improvement sub-projects was to improve accessibility in Program towns so that residents would have better access to economic and social activities. Sub-projects identified would increase the supply of effective road space by removing impedances to traffic flow including road side drains, road strengthening, road widening, grade separators (flyovers), bus terminals, parking facilities and Road over Bridges (ROB) to provide better and uninterrupted connectivity.
- 30. Present sub-project component in Ghaziabad City considered for the present report comprises construction of a bus terminal facility. Presently there are three bus terminals located at different locations (two operated by UP State Road Transport Corporation and one operated by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam for private buses) operating for different routes. Lack of integration between these terminals put the commuters and operators put into more difficulties that has resulted in much pressure on the urban transport service levels. Also the UPRSTC Terminal located in the CBD Area near GDA office is creating more traffic circulation problems. The increased demand for a centralized bus terminal with all the required additional facilities that can accommodate all the three terminals together to facilitate urban public transport problems to a large extent and accordingly Nagar Nigam area necessitates the construction of a bus terminal in the in that area identified in the Master Plan for bus terminal purpose.
- 31. This proposed project location is the place earmarked for bus terminal in the Master Plan and also has better access to all arterial roads in the city. Integration of all the different terminals also require large space with suitable access which the present location has.. The study has mandated a bus terminal facility to be built at this location. Since, the local officials also feel that a centralized bus terminal facility is required at this location on a priority basis; this project is selected from the Transport Master Plan prepared for preparing Detailed Project Report (DPR).
- 32. Based on the intersection volume count survey conducted in December 2008, about 28,000 vehicles were found using the intersection on an average daily near to the existing bus terminal with narrow roads subjecting to more delay due to the buses causing frequent congestion and this is likely to aggravate further in future. Shifting the present bus terminal to the identified new location will ease the present traffic congestion Construction of a bus terminal with related improvements like pedestrian facilities and other traffic safety measures will benefit 28,000 vehicles daily using the junction as well to the buses

using the new terminal which likely further to increase at about 5 - 6 % every year. Benefits to these vehicles include i) reduced vehicle operation cost, ii) reduced delay time, iii) reduced in traffic accidents and iv) improvement to environment. Data sources considered were primary road inventory and traffic surveys carried out as part of the Program preparation, and available secondary data on the road network and previous traffic surveys.

- 33. Proposed DPR is selected from the recommendations were made at Master plan in the following stages.
  - Based on a comprehensive investigation of existing scenario and estimation of future requirements a transport master plan was prepared that had outlined the required transport infrastructure requirements to meet short, medium and long term traffic demand in Ghaziabad City.
  - As per the requirement of this study the present requirement is to identify four sample projects emerging out of the master plan proposals to be taken up for DPR. The four improvement proposals considered are:
    - A grade separator
    - o Road widening on a corridor stretch
    - o A multi-level parking facility
    - A bus terminal
  - The medium / long term proposals emerged out of the study were presented to the officials of NCR in Delhi, NCR cell in Ghaziabad, Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam, Ghaziabad Development Authority and others. The proposals to be taken up for DPR were extensively discussed between the Consultants and these officials. The schemes that are essential for the current scenario of Ghaziabad were finally identified by GDA and NCR.
- 34. The proposed bus terminal at Mohan Nagar near Patel Chowk adjacent to Loni Road and GT Road was thus identified and selected for DPR preparation. Design parameters considered to the project design are listed below.
  - The guidelines of Indian Road Congress (IRC) appropriate to intersection improvements, urban roads capacity evaluation, construction of grade separators etc.
  - The structural design is carried out as per the latest versions of Indian Standard codes published by Bureau of Indian Standards.
  - As part of planning, design and project formulation process, the basic design parameters have been followed to suit the projected terminal capacity and the parking demand particularly to accommodate the peak hour flow.
  - 1. Existing Transport System in Ghaziabad City
- 35. Master Plan Report (MPR) of Ghaziabad Transport was prepared in April 2009 with the objective to design a suitable integrated urban transport infrastructure system, by assessing the resource requirement for next 20 years and suggesting suitable measures for

improvement of system.

- 36. Based on discussions with the stakeholders including different government agencies, detailed reconnaissance survey, review of available secondary data and finally scientifically planned primary traffic surveys, the existing traffic problems in the city and its environment was assessed.
- 37. Primary traffic surveys carried out for assessment include:
  - Road network inventory
  - Screen line traffic counts
  - Intersection Classified Volume Counts
  - Roadside Interview Surveys
  - Speed and delayed surveys
  - Parking surveys
  - Pedestrian Crossing Count Surveys
- 38. Transport system deficiencies observed in general in Ghaziabad city include:
  - Inadequacy of roadways
  - Absence of intra-city public transport:
  - Inadequate pedestrian facilities
  - Deficient Junctions:
  - Lack of Traffic Control Devices
  - Improper location of Bus Stops
  - Loading and unloading of goods at unauthorized places, obstructing the traffic and pedestrians alike
  - Absence of Truck Terminal
  - Inadequate facilities for non-motorized modes of transport
  - Intermixing of Regional and local traffic:
- 39. Projected traffic at major corridors and intersections indicate that the existing traffic system in the city will experience severe congestion and that will result in speed reduction drastically and will affect the service delivery considerably. This underlines the need for improvement intervention in the system considerably.
- 40. Of the 15 intersections where turning volume count survey was done, eight intersections have peak hour traffic of more than 5000 PCUs (Passenger Car Unit) and this indicates most of the intersections in the city require improvement intervention to meet the present and the fast growing future turning traffic.
- 41. Results of the Road Side Interview Survey conducted at three locations on NH24, NH58 and NH91indicated that:

- daily trips are more with 41% followed by alternate days and weekly trips with about 25% and 14% respectively.
- trips are for business purpose averaging about 43% comparing with 23% of work, 18% social and recreation, 8% tourism, 7% of education and 19% other trips respectively.
- .
- 42. Average journey speed observed from the major roads is indicated below

	NH 24	NH 58	NH 91	Hapur Bypass	Loni Bhopura	Ambedkar Marg
Average JS - peak Hour	41	38	41	20	27	23
Average JS - Off Peak Hour	58	41	59	25	45	36

Table 1-1 <sup>.</sup>	Summary	of Journey	Speed Data
I ubic I I.	Summary	or sourney	Spece Duiu

JS - Journey Speed in kmph

- 43. Results of parking survey conducted at four critical locations in the city had indicated that the available parking space is less than the actual demand. It can be seen that near GDA complex, the demand is in excess of the available supply considerably. With regard to parking duration, about 80% of the vehicles were parked for less than 30 minutes and this indicates high parking turn out. Another 21% of vehicles were parked more than 30 minutes but less than three hours.
- 44. Results of the Base Line Survey conducted in Ghaziabad city in 2008 revealed the following opinions about the existing transport infrastructure services:
  - 20% of the non-slum households and 47% percent of slum households were not satisfied about the road conditions;
  - 30% of the non-slum households and about 20% percent of slum households were not satisfied about the services of street lights;
  - 77% of the non-slum households and 65% percent of slum households feel that there is no proper drainage facilities along the roads;
  - 75% of the non-slum households and 94% percent of slum households were not satisfied about the available vehicular parking facilities;
  - 78% of the non-slum households and 84% percent of slum households felt that there is no adequate parking space for commercial vehicles;
  - 2. Effective Demand for Urban Transport
- 45. The socio-economic baseline survey<sup>1</sup> was aimed at understanding the perception of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> conducted as part of the present project (2008) in Ghaziabad City (with 0.5% sample size with stratified sampling approach giving representation to all administrative wards and all notified slums)

public towards the existing urban civic infrastructure and their service levels including their opinion towards the improvement of these services and their willingness to pay for assessing the 'effective demand'. Though this survey had covered the 'willingness to pay' aspect, it was not given specific focus so as to amend the results to statistical framework.

- 46. The Base line Survey results had indicated that:
  - nearly 43 percent non-slum household spends between Rs 1000 and above and Rs 2000 per month as fuel and vehicle maintenance. More than 47.2 percent slum household spends less than Rs.200.Another 33 percent non-slum household spends between Rs.200 to 1000 per month as fuel and vehicle maintenance.
  - More than 90 percent of non-slum household spend between Rs.500-1,000 per month to go to their respective work place. In case of slum, more than 65 percent household spend more than an hour to reach to their work place with a monthly expenditure ranges between Rs.100-500.
  - Nearly 51 percent non-slum and 30.5 percent slum households are willing to pay upto Rs. 5 per hours for 2-wheeler parking.
  - Better frequencies, better comfort and better coverage are the type of improvements commuters expect from the public transport system;
  - Around 98 percent non-slum and cent percent slum households are willing to pay more than 5-10 percent if the frequencies of service level of public transport improve. For better comfort and coverage of services the same trend is prevalent.
- 47. These facts discussed above justify the need for the improved transport subprojects in the city and the proposed subprojects like parking facility, terminals for public transport, grade separator and improving road corridor backed up by effective demand.

#### E. Identification of Project Rationale

- 48. The present transport system has inadequate and less quality infrastructure including mostly bad road conditions, inadequate parking facilities, inadequate and disaggregated terminal facilities and pedestrian facilities, not properly designed intersections, over utilized and congested road sections, inadequate terminal facilities for public transport, intermediate public transport vehicles and trucks, inadequate public transport service delivery etc. In general, major transport problems in the city include:
  - Lack of coverage and
  - Inefficient functioning of the existing system
- 49. Also the Transport Master Plan (TMP) prepared for the town has identified deficiencies and formulated recommendations to be implemented in phased manner that include (i) short term improvements, (ii) medium and long term improvements that include integrate terminals for public transport and trucks, improvement to identified critical intersections through grade separators etc, capacity augmentation to arterials roads through widening, dedicated multi storied parking facilities, development of new road links etc., to meet the

requirements of horizon year demand.. Thus the main project rationale lies for the rehabilitation of the Transport system for Ghaziabad city in filling the demand – supply gap resulted from and the present subproject of construction of a multi-storied vehicular parking facility is selected from the Transport Master Plan in consultation with the stake holders of concerned Government Departments and agencies Ghaziabad City.

#### F. Identification of Project Alternatives

50. For the selected subproject of bus terminal facility (adjacent to Loni Road and GT Road) for which detailed project report is prepared, alternative designs were assessed on three aspects, namely, cost-effectiveness, operation and maintenance capacity of the states governments and ULB, and safety to beneficiaries. The proposed design of the project incorporates that (i) service coverage to the full GNN area, (ii) selected optimum network with least cost options including equipments and material (iii) decision on rehabilitation of the existing system (iv) selection of new terminal sites with reference to Ghaziabad Development / Master Plan proposals (v) selected technologies meet geographical restrictions and can be operated and maintained by the asset owners with minor training, and (vii) materials and equipments are locally available and incur least cost during construction and maintenance, but are internationally accepted as health hazard free. These Assessments are discussed in the design section of this DPR.

#### G. Identification and Comparison of Project Costs and Benefits

#### 1. Economic Cost

- 51. From the cost estimate discussed in Section of this report, the 'base' project financial cost is estimated to Rs. 406 million that includes the provision of Rs 120 million towards land acquisition. Considering the contingency and allowances of additional 12% (Physical contingency (3%), DSC + Third Party Inspection (TPI) 3%, IEC activities 1%, o Incremental Administration (PIU) 2%, Environmental mitigation 1%, Social intervention 1%, and Institutional development and capacity building activities1%), the total project financial cost was worked out to Rs 507 million and this is phased during the two year construction period as follows:
  - 2011-12 40%
  - 2012-13 60%
- 52. Considering the standard procedures recommended for economic feasibility analysis, the above financial cost was converted into economic cost for the analysis. Details of economic cost analysis are presented in **Appendix 1-1**.

#### 2. Project Benefits

- 53. Project beneficiaries will be those travelers for whom accessibility to economic and social activities will be improved through better accessibility and traffic flows brought about by the sub-projects. This improvement is achieved by reducing the effort or inconvenience of travel between the origin of the traveler and the destination offering these activities.
- 54. Present sub-project component in Ghaziabad City considered for the present report comprises construction of a bus terminal facility. Presently there are three bus terminals located at different locations (two operated by UP State Road Transport Corporation and one operated by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam for private buses) operating for different routes. Lack of integration between these terminals put the commuters and operators put into more difficulties that has resulted in much pressure on the urban transport service levels. Also the UPRSTC Terminal located in the CBD Area near GDA office is creating more traffic circulation problems. The increased demand for a centralized bus terminal with all the required additional facilities that can accommodate all the three terminals together to facilitate urban public transport problems to a large extent and accordingly Nagar Nigam area necessitates the construction of a bus terminal in the in that area identified in the Master Plan for bus terminal purpose..
- 55. The sub proposal is to construct a centralized bus terminal facility so as to reduce the accessibility problems for the public transport users and public transport buses with free flow. Subproject proposals will benefit the vehicular traffic on the impact roads with less traffic congestion, less traffic conflict at junctions, increase the travel speed resulting in savings in vehicle operating cost (VOC) and travel time, accommodate the fast growing vehicular traffic, uninterrupted movement for the major through traffic through the region, pedestrian safety including reduced road crossing time, better circulation and terminal facilities for the public transport and intermediate public transport vehicles etc.
- 56. The economic benefits considered in the present analysis for the bus terminal subproject in transport component in Ghaziabad city include:
  - (i) Vehicle operating cost saving for buses with improved roads for circulation
  - (ii) User charges collected from the parked vehicles,
  - (iii) Rental charges collected from the commercial establishments and utilities operated
  - (iv) Revenue from advertisements;
  - (v) Incremental revenue from bus terminal operation; and .
  - (vi) Additional employment generation.
- 57. *Exclusions*. The following benefits of transport component for the bus terminal construction have not been quantified for want of adequate data and quantification techniques. These qualitative benefits along with the quantifiable benefits discusses above, the proposed bus terminal construction will tend to provide better living condition in the project town.

- (i) Improvement in the environment of the project site;
- (ii) Savings in VOC due to the reduction in traffic congestion at CBD area ;
- (iii) Savings in traffic accidents cost due to better traffic management; and
- (iv) Effects on tourism and tourist-related businesses.

#### H. Economic Feasibility Analysis

- 1. Analysis Period
- 58. The analysis period of the project is taken as 23 years from the base year 2010 for different sections of the project road as follows:
  - Base Year 2010
  - Construction period 2011 to 2012
  - Project opened start year 2013
  - End of the analysis period –2032
- 59. Number of operating years after project improvement, considered for economic analysis 20 years. Thus, 20 years of operation, in effect, from the operation start of the proposed project i.e. 2013 have been considered for economic evaluation for the project road.
  - 2. Economic Feasibility Criteria
- 60. The cost benefit analysis is carried out by using the discounted cash flow (DCF) technique to obtain the economic internal rate of return (EIRR) and economic net present value (ENPV) for the proposed investments and the likely quantified project benefits linked with the project during the defined project analysis period
  - 3. Economic Opportunity Cost of Capital (EOCC).
- 61. Given the complexity of estimating country-specific economic opportunity cost of capital (EOCC), a discount rate of 12% in constant economic prices is generally used as a proxy for EOCC in the economic analysis of ADB-financed projects. The EIRR must be compared with the economic opportunity cost of capital, for interpretation purpose of project feasibility. Results of the analysis are presented in **Table 1-2**.

Details	Present Value
	(Rs. million) a/
Costs	
Capital costs	
Transport (Bus Terminal)	298

Table 1-2: Economic Cost-Benefit Analysis for Bus Terminal in Ghaziabad

Details	Present Value
	(Rs. million) a/
O&M costs	
Transport (Bus Terminal)	75
Total costs	373
Benefits	
Transport (Bus Terminal)	
- VOC Savings	19
- Parking Charges	18
- Commercial Space & Advertisement Revenue	263
-terminal operation through bus entry fee	59
- Additional employment generation	67
Total benefits	425
Economic Return Measures	
Net present value (Rs. Million)	52
<b>EIRR</b> (%)	13.85%

a/ In 2009-10 prices. Discounted to 2009-10 at 12% real discount rate.

#### I. Sensitivity Analysis

- 62. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to their economic feasibility results for the following scenarios:
  - Capital cost increase by 20%
  - O&M costs increased by 20%
  - Target beneficiaries reduced by 20%
  - Delay in accrual of benefit by 1 year
  - Combined adverse condition
- 63. Results of the sensitivity analysis for the proposed project are summarized below in Table1-2. Detailed calculations are given in Appendix 1-3.

Table 1-2: Sensitivity Analysis for Bus Terminal Subproject (EIRR)

Details	EIRR	Switching Value c/
Main Evaluation (Base Case) a/	13.8%	
Capital Cost Overrun b/	11.8%	17.0%
O&M Cost Overrun d/	13.3%	70.0%
Decrease in Project Benefits e/	10.8%	12.0%
One Year Delay in Implementation	12.9%	
All Four Tests Combined	6.8%	

a/ From Table 1-2.

b/ 20% increase in capital cost estimates.

c/ Calculated as the percentage change in a variable required for EIRR to reduce to 12%. For example the capital cost can increase by 230% or project benefits can reduce by 68% to get the minimum required level of EIRR of 12%

d/20% increase in O&M cost.

e/ 20% decrease in project benefits

- 64. Of the four sensitivity scenarios (cost overrun, O&M cost increase, reduced beneficiaries, delay in implementation) reduced beneficiaries is the most vulnerable to EIRR, followed by cost overrun. Considering the more sensitiveness of these variables, following implementation arrangements need to be focused more so as minimize the project risk:
  - Ensuring adequate project coverage of beneficiaries through advance commitment from operators by making mandatory for all to access through project design;
  - Timely implementation of the project through appropriate procurement method in which incentive for early completion may be included;
  - Adequate focus for LA related project components

#### J. Conclusion

- 65. The main evaluation has indicated that the proposed transport sub project (construction of a Bus Terminal) for Ghaziabad city was found to be economically viable, with the calculated EIRR values exceeding the economic opportunity cost of capital. The sensitivity analysis has demonstrated the robustness of this result, with the subproject component economically viable even when the changed assumptions were tested.
- 66. Furthermore, for the proposed transport subproject (construction of a bus terminal), the calculated EIRR value is considered minimum estimates of economic return, as there are a number of economic benefits of reduced pollution, a cleaner city and improved transport environment that have not been quantified.

#### 2. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS OF PROJECTS

#### A. Introduction

- 67. Financial analysis for subprojects generally consists of both (i) Financial Management Assessment and (ii) Financial Analysis and this is the specific requirements for the financial analysis to the projects funded by ADB. The minimum requirement for Financial Management Assessment and analysis, are described in ADB's 'Financial Management and Analysis of Projects (the Guidelines)'.
- 68. Financial Management Assessment, the first part of analysis, concentrates on the assessment review of Executing Agency (EA) and Implementing Agency (IA) with respect to the subproject subjected to financial analysis. Assessment review will cover the areas like financing policies; accounting policies; project implementation plan; financing plan; disbursement procedures and fund-flow mechanisms and regulatory provisions.
- 69. Second part of the analysis is the 'Financial Analysis' for subprojects. This will mainly focus on the review of EA, IA and the proposed subproject with respect to the following components:
  - Past and present financial condition
  - Cost Recovery and Profitability
  - Financial Improvement Action Plan
  - Affordability Analysis
  - Projected Financial Forecasts
  - Cost benefit analysis
  - Sensitivity Analysis
- 70. The proposed subproject of Bus Terminal in Ghaziabad City is likely to be funded from ADB fund through NCRPB. However for the present analysis, it is considered that the proposed subproject will funded by NCRPB to the end-borrower (Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam GNN). Accordingly GNN with the guarantee of the Uttar Pradesh State will become both the Executing Agency (EA) as it will have the direct control of NCRPB Loan and the Implementing Agency (IA) as GNN is the asset owner and responsible for the implementation and operation of the proposed subproject, utilizing the loan proceed. Accordingly, both GNN as EA and IA is considered for financial management assessment purpose.
- 71. Considering the focus of the present assignment 'to support the project preparation efforts of the implementing agencies by preparing demonstration feasibility studies and DPRs that include all due diligence documentations required for processing of the project in accordance with the best practices, including the proposed NCRPB's policies and guidelines , the following requirement in carrying out the financial analysis emerge:

- the subprojects funded through ADB loan; and
- the subprojects funded through NCRPB own fund,
- 72. In both cases, NCRPB only will be the lender to the end borrowers (ULBs or line departments / agencies) for the subprojects and hence only the end-borrower will be assessed.
- 73. With this background, the present financial feasibility analysis concentrates more on the project financial analysis as this is the area where the capacity of the IAs needs to be enhanced for both format of loans. Also the financial management assessment part of the analysis is covered to the level of data availability. On finalization of the projects for ADB funding (in which the present subprojects under review may or may not be a part), subsequent consultancies will improve this financial management assessment part of the financial analysis.

#### B. Financial Management Assessment

#### 1. Policy Context

- 74. Subsequent to the 74th Constitution Amendment Act (CAA), 1992 (Refer **Appendix 2-1**) the Government of Uttar Pradesh (GoUP) embarked on a policy of decentralization of powers to local governments. Uttar Pradesh Local Self Government Laws (Amendment) Act, 1994 was drafted based on decentralization principles laid down in the 74th CAA, which came into force w.e.f. 31.05.1994. Impact of 73rd & 74th Amendments on ULBs in Uttar Pradesh State is summarized in **Appendix 2-3**.
- 75. Key features of the decentralization initiative comprised (i) transferring health related institutions (except medical colleges and regional specialty hospitals) to local governments; (ii) transferring all schools to Urban Local Bodies (ULBs); (iii) planning and implementing centrally sponsored poverty alleviation schemes through ULBs; (iv) planning social welfare schemes, implementing Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS), payment of various social security pensions, and creating centre for disabled care are ULB responsibilities; (v) planning and providing urban basic services, including water supply, sanitation, storm water drainage and urban roads (excluding those provided / maintained by the State Public Works Department); (vi) Ward Committees in all municipal corporations and municipalities which have a population of three lakhs or more; and (vii) increase of financial power for ULB Heads.
- 76. Constitution of State Finance Commission (SFC). Consequent up to 73rd and 74th Amendments to the Constitution of India, and in exercise of the powers conferred by Article 243(1) & (X), the Governor vide Finance Departments Notification no. RG-1933/x-53-94 dated October 22, 1994 constituted the State Finance Commission (Panchayat Raj & Local Bodies). Two SFCs were constituted in UP and the UP Government had mostly accepted their recommendations (more than 80 percent). Status of

the recommendations of the SFCs on tax sharing to local bodies is summarized in **Table 2-1**. In Indian Federal structure, SFC created by 73rd and 74th CAA is the only channel to address issues of state-local fiscal relations. SFCs thus acquire unique place in fiscal decentralization and local finance issues.

State	Recommended and accepted share of Local Bodies (Urban & Rural)			
	First SFC	Second SFC		
Uttar Pradesh	10 % of net own tax revenue receipts of state govt. (7 % for ULBs)	12.5 % of net proceeds of taxes, duties, tolls & fees, exclu. entertainment tax & land revenue (7.5 % for ULBs)		

Source: Decentralization and Local Finance Issues - The Workings of State Finance Commissions in India, Dr. Ravikant Joshi

- 77. Some of the major recommendations from SFCs that affect the financial management of ULBs in UP include:
  - (i) Conversion of certain category of loans sanctioned to the urban local bodies which was over due on 31st March as state grants
  - (ii) Rates of Non-Tax Revenue have been revised and as mentioned earlier the power to further revise these rates in future by framing or amending the bye laws has been delegated to urban local bodies.
  - (iii) To increase the own sources of tax revenue of urban local bodies the target has been fixed to fix the demand as per the estimated population of 1997, minimum per capita of Rs. 120 for municipal Corporation and Rs. 40 to 90 per capita in Nagar Palika Parishad (depending upon the population) and Rs. 20 per capita in Nagar Panchayats vide g.o. No. 3488/IX-9-97-55/97 dated October 22, 1997.
  - (iv) Imposition of all types of taxes enumerated in the Act, has been made compulsory vide g.o. No. 2371(1)/IX-91998 dated September 23, 1998.
  - (v) The recommendations in respect of profession tax have been accepted by most states as per the available information.
  - (vi) Participation of the community and the private sector, especially in the delivery of urban services is being emphasized. In Uttar Pradesh, decisions have been taken on providing civic services on contract basis and to promote citizen participation
  - (vii) The Finance Commissions of Uttar Pradesh have given importance to devolution of functions, functionaries and powers along with a transfer of funds.
- 78. The share of transfers from state governments in the revenues of municipalities was 31.7 per cent (2001/02). This is, however, the average; municipalities in several states are almost entirely transfer-dependent for running of local services. The dependence of urban local bodies was as high as 83.71 per cent in case of Jammu & Kashmir, 83.33 in case of Rajasthan and 74.48 in case of Uttar Pradesh<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Decentralization and Local Finance Issues - The Workings of State Finance Commissions in India, Dr. Ravikant Joshi

- 79. This scenario is a result of the following three factors:
  - The inferior local taxes which have low elasticity and buoyancy;
  - Poor administration of tax and other powers by local governments; and
  - Absence of autonomy for local governments in respect of tax rate setting, rate revision and other spheres of their functioning.
- 80. *Government of India (GoI):* GoI in its Tenth Five Year Plan (2002 2007) emphasized the role of the ULBs:
  - To be responsive and accountable to the community;
  - to develop cities with standards of service comparable to the best in that particular category;
  - to constantly improve their capabilities so as to equip themselves to undertake their tasks in resource-raising, service provision, and poverty alleviation
- 81. Tenth Plan had focused the reforms in land and housing policy, and of pricing of utilities, should be to augment the resources of the ULBs, provide for adequate maintenance of civic services, and undertake expansion of infrastructure to meet growing needs.
- 82. Apart from the State Finance Commissions, GoI has found providing support to ULBs through various schemes including AUWSP, IDSMT, JNNURM, Mega City Scheme, NCR PLAN :, HUDCO loan assistance, Tax-Free Bonds scheme and external assistance from multilateral lending agencies like the Asian Development Bank (ADB), World Bank and bilateral agencies.
- 83. Govt. of Uttar Pradesh (GoUP). Based on the lessons learnt through the 9th FYP, the 10th FYP (2002-07) for Uttar Pradesh is based upon local government's development plan and focuses on (i) reforms and improving organization efficiencies; (ii) increasing allocation in information technology, tourism infrastructure, poverty reduction and health; (iii) planning programs to facilitate employment generation; (iv) promoting private sector investment in economic development; (v) preparing sub-plans for poverty reduction with participation of women groups and focus on vulnerable sections of the society; (vi) evolving a plan for the disabled and women beneficiaries; (vii) strengthening decentralization and improving the planning process; (viii) continuing support to increased use of information technology in all facets of development; (ix) continuing support to tourism infrastructure development; (x) promoting the development of village and small industries; (xi) promoting the participation of private sector in providing education; and (xii) improving service delivery in key areas like health, revenue, education, etc.
- 84. Govt. of Uttar Pradesh had allotted Rs 102066 Lakhs for the urban development during the Tenth Plan (2002-2007) which was about 2% of the total plan outlay. However, the actual plan outlay during the plan period was increased to Rs 143142 Lakhs (**Table 2-2**). Also the annual budget for 2009-10 during the Eleventh Plan for urban development was

increased to Rs 180420 Lakhs (**Table 2-3**) in which water supply, sanitation and urban development were the focus areas. These together underline the importance given to the urban development by the GoUP, which includes the project city of Ghaziabad.

Year	Annual Plan Allocation for Urban Development during the Tenth Five Year Plan (Rs. Lakhs)
2002-03	22,347
2003-04	14,378
2004-05	14,174
2005-06	23,489
2006-07	68,754
Total	143,142

 Table 2-2: Tenth Plan Allocation for Urban Development in Uttar Pradesh

Source: Govt. of UP

**Table 2-3**: Major head summary for the Budget Year 2009-2010 for Urban Development, Uttar Pradesh (Rs. Thousands)

Major Head and Description	Current y	Current year Budget (2009-10)				
	Plan	Non Plan	Total	(%)		
2015—Elections	-	83,836	83,836	0.46%		
2052Secretariat - General Services	542	62,350	62,892	0.35%		
2053District Administration	2	98,278	98,280	0.54%		
2070Other Administrative Services	-	5,171	5,171	0.03%		
2215Water Supply and Sanitation	1,220,000	-	1,220,000	6.76%		
2217Urban Development	2,755,324	1,036,092	3,791,416	21.01%		
2230Labour and Employment	125,404	-	125,404	0.70%		
3054Roads and Bridges	-	-	-	0.00%		
3604Compensation and Assignments to	-	550	550	0.00%		
Local Bodies and Panchayati Raj						
4070Capital Outlay on Other	1	-	1	0.00%		
Administrative Services						
4215Capital Outlay on Water Supply And	1,480,003	-	1,480,003	8.20%		
Sanitation						
4217Capital Outlay on Urban	9,024,502	150,000	9,174,502	50.85%		
Development						
6215Loans for Water Supply and	2,000,000	-	2,000,000	11.09%		
Sanitation						
Total	16,605,778	1,436,277	18,042,055	100.00%		

Source: http://budget.up.nic.in/Estimates/maj\_sumry.asp

#### 2. National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB)

85. The National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB), constituted in 1985 under the provisions of NCRPB Act, 1985<sup>3</sup>, is a statutory body functioning under the Ministry of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The National Capital Region Planning Board Act, 1985, No.2 OF 1985, 9th February, 1985, published by The Gazette of India on FEBRUARY 11, 1985. This Act provide for the constitution of a Planning Board for the preparation of a plan for the development of the National Capital Region and for co-ordinating and

Urban Development, Government of India. NCRPB has a mandate to systematically develop the National Capital Region (NCR) of India which comprises of (i) National Capital Territory Delhi (constitutes 4.4 percent of NCR area); (ii) Haryana Sub-region (40.0 percent of NCR area); (iii) Rajasthan Sub-region (23.3 percent of NCR area); (iv) Uttar Pradesh Sub-region (32.3 percent of NCR area) and (v) Five Counter Magnet Areas (CMA) The project town Ghaziabad City also part of the NCR.

- 86. According to the NCRPB Act, 1985 major functions of the Board include: (i)Preparation of the Regional Plan and Functional Plans; (ii) Coordinate enforcement and implementation of the Regional Plan, Functional Plans, Sub-regional Plans, and Project Plans through the participating states and NCT; (iii) Ensure proper and systematic programming by the participating states and the NCT in project formulation, determination of priorities in NCR or Sub-regions and phasing of the development of NCR in accordance with the stages indicated in regional plan; and, (v) Arrange and oversee the financing of selected development project in the NCR through Central and State Plan funds and other sources of revenue.
- 87. NCRPB has prepared regional plan for NCR area with the perspective year 2021. Further, the Board also initiated preparation of functional plans to elaborate one or more elements of the Regional Plan. Accordingly the functional plan for water supply and transport is under preparation but plans for other infrastructure is yet to take off (**Appendix 2-2**).

#### 3. Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam

- 73 The status of Ghaziabad was upgraded from Municipal Board to Municipal Corporation, known as Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) on 31 August 1994 following 74th constitution Amendment Act 1992 and conformity legislation by state government. The Municipal administration has been decentralized in five zones and 80 administrative wards. City zone has 24 wards; Kabir Nagar has 17 wards; Vasundra zone has 11 wards; Mohan Nagar zone has 14 and Vijay Nagar Zone has 14 wards.
- 88. Urban development and service delivery in Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) is the combined responsibility of a set of state level and city level institutions. These institutions and their key functions are listed in **Table 2-4** below segregated in terms of institutions functioning at the state level and city level.

monitoring the implementation of such plan and for evolving harmonized policies for the control of land-uses and development of infrastructure in the National Capital Region so as to avoid any haphazard development of that region and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto

Table 2-4. Institutions and Then	
Institution	Key Function
I. State Level	
UP Pollution Control Board	Pollution control and monitoring especially river water quality
(UPPCB)	and regulating industries
Public Works Department (PWD)	Construction of roads main roads and transport infrastructure
	including construction and maintenance of Government houses
	and Institutions
State Urban Development	Apex policy-making and monitoring agency for the urban
Authority (SUDA)	areas of the state. Responsible for providing overall guidance
	to the District Urban Development Authority (DUDA) for
	implementation of community development programs
Town and Country Planning	Preparation of Master Plans including infrastructure for
Department (TCPD)	the state (rural and urban)
UP Jal Nigam (JN	Water supply and sewerage including design of water supply
	and sewerage networks. In the last two decades 'pollution
	control of rivers' has become one of their primary focus areas
UP Avas Vikas Parishad (AVP)	Nodal agency for housing in the state. Additionally involved in
	planning, designing, construction and development of almost
	all types of urban development projects in the state.
	Autonomous body generating its own resources through loans
	from financial institutions
II. City Level	
	•
Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN)	Nodal agency for municipal service delivery and O&M.
	Its key functions include:
	Primary Collection of Solid Waste
	Maintenance of Storm Water Drains
	Maintenance of internal roads
	• Allotment of Trade Licenses under the Prevention of
	Food Adulteration Act
	• O&M of internal sewers and community toilets
	• Management of ghats
	Construction of Community Toilets
Ghaziabad Development	Responsible for preparing spatial Master Plans for land use and
Authority (GDA)	development of new areas as well as provision of housing and
• • •	necessary infrastructure
District Urban Development	Implementing agency for plans prepared by SUDA.
Authority (DUDA)	Responsible for the field work relating to community
• • •	development – focusing on the development of slum
	communities, construction of community toilets, assistance in
	construction of individual household latrines, awareness
	generation etc.
	0

#### Table 2-4: Institutions and Their Functions

89. In real sense, 74th Amendment is partially implemented in UP. GNN, Jal Nigam and various other government agencies are functioning in Ghaziabad and are playing different roles of a ULB under different capacities (and other cities of UP). This makes the municipal corporation inadequate resulting in limited power and weak municipal administration. These cities are dependent on the state legislature for decisions concerning

their regulations and it is critical for the decision making process required at a local level. However, with regard to the issue of reforms, current status and future proposals the state government /ULB are in the process of initiating steps in this direction and the willingness of the governments to undertake the required reforms.

#### 4. Private Sector Participation

- 90. The current legal and political climate for the involvement of FI's or Private parties for building urban infrastructure and or operating urban services in UP Cities including Ghaziabad does not look very promising in its present form, as revealed from available CDP Reports. Many reforms, legislative changes and a greater commitment from the local body as well as the GoUP are required for attracting private investment and safeguarding investors' interest. However, three broad areas can be identified and explored for private investment for urban infrastructure, namely transportation services including bus/truck terminals, Parking (multilevel parking with commercial space] and Solid Waste Management.
- 5. User Charges
- 91. Ghaziabad Municipal Corporation is not in a position to collect user charges from different authorities in the desired manner to reflect the actual usage of different urban services. For example in water supply there is not a meter system in place, the user fee is being charged on per connection basis without taking into account the actual expenses on O&M for the provision the service.
- 92. The property tax collection in force in GNN has provisions for water and sewer components as indicated below. It does not have the provision for SWM component in the property tax..

#### ARV Based Property Tax System followed in Ghaziabad City

Average Rental Value (ARV) = Plinth Area (sqft) x Rs. 0.60 x 12 months

House Tax = 10% of ARV Water Tax = 10% of ARV Sewer Tax = 4 % of ARV

- 93. Presently there are three bus terminals located at different locations (two operated by UP State Road Transport Corporation and one operated by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam for private buses) operating for different routes.
  - The two terminals operated by UP State Road Transport Corporation have about 500 daily trips and are used by UPSRTC buses and other interstate buses. In this, inter state buses operated by non-UPSRTC buses are charged Rs. 50 per trip.
  - Third terminal operated by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam is for private buses is located on GT Road near Hindon River crossing and operated on contract basis with entry charges for the buses using the terminal.

#### 6. Financing Plan

- 94. Total investment program will be shared between NCRPB and GNN through participating states in such a way that NCRPB share will be 75% as loan and GNN will be the balance 25%. The terms of the loan will of
  - 10 years tenure with two years moratorium and eight years repayment period
  - 9 percent interest rate •
- 95. As per the existing arrangements, for UP state, the entire 75% loan component will be transferred to GNN with the guarantee support of states but without grant component from NCRPB and hence the total contribution by GNN will be 100% (25% own contribution + 75 % NCRPB loan). For 75% Loan component and 25% own equity component, GNN will be the responsibility. For implementation, GNN will be the Executing Agency for the Investment Program and responsible for overall strategic guidance, technical supervision and work quality and ensuring compliance with loan and PFR provisions and due diligence.

#### 7. **Operation and Maintenance:**

- 96. As observed in the UP State, and in many other states in India, operating and maintaining the bus terminal facility can be the responsibility of the GNN in case of implementing the project by itself. Accordingly GNN will operate and manage O&M of the improved bus terminal facilities in Ghaziabad with adequate fund provision and the required technical capability. GNN can also consider outsourcing the responsibility to private operators.
- 8. Cost Recovery
- 97. Present bus terminal project is considered under full cost recovery and so levy of user charges for the facilities offered like terminal facilities for collecting passengers and commercial activities is important. Also levy of entry fee is presently followed in the city and elsewhere by different agencies as discussed earlier. Considering all the user fee followed presently by different agencies, an appropriate user fee structure was followed with periodical renewal mechanism is followed for the present project towards the cost recovery objective, as shown below.

Table 2-3. Hoposed Entry Fee for Buses and other Oser Charge	303	
Details	Bus	Car

Table 2-5: Proposed	Entry Fee for Buses and	l other User Charges

Details	Bus	Car	TW	Auto
Entry Fee for Buses (Rs. Entry)				
(i) Interstate Buses	50			
(ii) Other Buses	35			
Parking Fee (Rs. / two hour)		10	5	5
Increase in User Fee ( at every 3rd year)	15%	15%	15%	15%

**Source:** Consultants Estimate

	Commercial Space Rent Revenue						
Details	Area proposed for Commercial Purpose (Sqm)	Monthly Rent (Rs/Sqm)	Annual Rent (Rs/Sqm)	Annual Rent (Rs Million)			
Kiosk (20%)	557	1875	22500	10.02			
Retail Area (35%)	974	1238	14850	11.57			
Office Area (45%)	1252	500	6000	6.01			
Advertisement	LS			9.60			

 Table 2-6: Estimation of Revenue from Commercial Space – Bus Terminal

#### 9. Disbursement Procedures and Fund-Flow Mechanisms

- 98. Loan disbursement is a key element in the project cycle. NCRPB expects that proposed disbursement procedures and fund-flow mechanisms will be suitable for the particular project. NCRPB procedures for withdrawal of loan proceeds are standardized to facilitate disbursements under most loans.
- 99. In the present case, it is assumed that the NCRPB Loan will be passed on, to the GNN with the UP State government guarantee. Thus GNN will be the borrower from NCRPB with the UP State support. GNN will enter into supply and civil work contracts and issues and signs the payment checks through a suitable arrangement agreed with NCRPB. Created assets will be owned by GNN. With regards to repayment of the interest and principle, GNN will pay to NCRPB and this will be governed by the NCRPB's terms and conditions agreeable in the disbursement procedures.
- 10. Accounting Policy
- 100. Long-, medium- and short-term planning should be the primary elements in financial management. Long- and medium-term plans are often referred to as corporate plans. Short-term financial plans are usually called budgets. NCRPB will seek assurance that satisfactory plans and budgets will be prepared in a regular, orderly and timely manner. Also NCRPB will consider the acceptability of accounting policies, including standards of financial reporting and general accounting practices. In line with the existing market best practices followed for infrastructure financing, NCRPB expects these policies to be materially consistent with accepted national or international standards and practices.
- 101. Accordingly the potential agency Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) that will be involved in the project loan was considered for review. Discussion on GNN's accounting policy is given below.
- 102. GNN maintains records on single entry cash based system of accounting. The output of this cash basis of accounting is a Statement of Receipts and Payments that classifies cash receipts and cash payments under different heads. A statement of assets and liabilities is

not prepared.

- 103. The cash basis of accounting fails to meet most of financial reporting objectives. The measurement of performance and financial position under the cash basis of accounting is unlikely to yield correct results, because the evaluation of performance requires the measurement of accomplishment (the revenues) during a period and the efforts expended for those accomplishments (the expenses). In current scenario, the timings of cash receipts and cash payments may not coincide with earning of revenues or incurrence of expenses. Thus cash basis of accounting fails to meet most of the financial reporting objectives.
- 104. GNN accounts of receipts and expenditure shall be maintained in such a manner as prescribed as per Section 142 of MC Adhiniyam, 1959. There is no mandatory provision for the maintenance of accounts on double entry accrual based system of accounting as per Municipal Act. Recently great development has taken place in all over the country in this regard. UP Government has issued an order for switching over the accounts from single entry to Double entry accrual based system.
- 105. JNNURM accounting reforms mandates are not applicable to GNN as Ghaziabad is not a JNNURM city and so it is following the accounting procedures required for UP State.
- 106. Based on the on-going lending practice, existing accounting policies and procedures followed by agencies in Uttar Pradesh including GNN is not the major hindrance for NCRPB for completing the project.

#### 11. Financial Regulations

- 107. A sound accounting system is underpinned by financial regulations. These are usually designed to define the objectives of—and responsibilities within—the financial management system. In the interest of the funding agency, an acceptable financial regulations need to be in place.
- 108. For the present bus terminal Subproject, the proposed project will be funded by National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB) through loan to Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN), GNN will be both Executing Agency (EA) and implementing agency (IA).
  - Financial regulations for NCRPB, as part of the Ministry of Urban Development, Govt. of India, will be governed by the well defined regulatory system designed by Govt. of India.
  - Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) will be governed by the financial regulation provisions laid by Uttar Pradesh State under Municipal Act.
  - Both regulation procedures will cover all the required aspects to be considered under financial regulations review which are normally required under the 'best practice' followed in the market, though there may be variations in quality and quantity aspects in between them.

#### C. Financial Analysis

#### 1. Present Financial Condition

- 109. *Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN)*. Financial sustainability addresses the required as well as appropriate taxation and tariff reforms. Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam Revenue Account and sub-project cash flows take cognizance of policy directives undertaken by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam in addressing infrastructure investment and sustenance needs the focus is on property taxation, water, sewer/drainage and conservancy charges. While the Nagar Nigam continues to draw a substantial proportion of its income from government grant, in lieu of Octroi, in the long-term, the strategy of GNN shall be to capitalize on the benefits accruing from property taxes. Urban infrastructure investments as part of the city development plan (CDP) should recognize the fact that property taxes pay for capital investments and user charges pay for system operation and maintenance given the aforesaid approach, the financial sustainability analysis focuses on reviewing net cash flows arising out of taxation and tariff reforms
- 110. The review of finances involves a time-series analysis of the income and expenditure of the Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) to ascertain the trends and the major sources and uses of funds. In addition to this, certain key financial indicators relating to property tax, water tax, per capita income, per capita expenditure and debt servicing; have been considered to assess the financial performance of the GNN.
- 111. The Municipal Accounts code of Government of Uttar Pradesh prescribes the organization of the municipal fund into three broad categories of General Account, Capital Account and Debt and Suspense (or Extraordinary) Account. Based on the availability, revenue account data collected from the annual accounts of GNN were compiled and analyzed. For the purpose of municipal fiscal assessment, financial data pertaining to the last seven years (2001-02 to 2007-08) were compiled in an abstract format (**Table 2-7**). Detailed analysis on GNN municipal financial performance is presented in **Appendix 2-4**.

Sl. No.	Details	AACGR (%) (2002 - 08)	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
I.	Revenue								
1	Income from Taxes								
	i) House Tax	14.64%	727	850	866	1,085	1,162	1,157	1,650
	ii) Water Tax	19.41%	345	469	478	590	709	705	1,000
	iii) Sewer Tax	16.73%	166	201	203	274	324	337	420
	iii) Other Taxes	-17.14%	652	688	122	1,147	644	27	211
	Total Taxes	9.63%	1,890	2,208	1,669	3,096	2,839	2,226	3,281
			43.1%	41.3%	32.3%	47.9%	41.7%	36.6%	24.0%
2	Other Income	13.26%	488	875	1,148	887	1,238	413	1,030
			11.1%	16.4%	22.2%	13.7%	18.2%	6.8%	7.5%
3	Water charges	-25.82%	12	24	24	14	24	4	2
			0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%
4	Govt. Transfers/ Grants	11.66%	1787	2056	2262	2438	2668	3102	9233
			40.8%	38.5%	43.8%	37.7%	39.1%	51.0%	67.6%
5	Revenue from Sale	-8.46%	204	178	62	35	46	342	120
			4.7%	3.3%	1.2%	0.5%	0.7%	5.6%	0.9%
	TOTAL	20.88%	4,381	5,341	5,165	6,470	6,815	6,087	13,666
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
II	Expenditure								
1	General Administration	8.82%	412	435	475	505	583	623	684
			9.5%	9.3%	9.1%	8.4%	11.6%	10.8%	9.7%
2	Health & Sanitation Works								
	i) Salaries	4.11%	952	872	1,055	1,004	966	1,175	1,212

 Table 2-7: GNN Revenue Expenditure Account

SI. No.	Details	AACGR (%) (2002 - 08)	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
	ii) Contracted Sanitation Works	22.08%	187	249	298	388	452	443	619
	iii) Repairs & Maintenance of Sanitation Implements	7.92%	69	107	82	51	56	95	109
	iv) Diesel, etc	23.06%	74	109	120	167	187	199	257
	v) Others	2.97%	78	33	48	46	84	87	93
	Total Sanitation	9.07%	1,360	1,370	1,603	1,656	1,745	1,999	2,290
			31.5%	29.2%	30.8%	27.4%	34.8%	34.6%	32.4%
3	Development Works & Repairs	4.31%	1,349	1,774	1,619	1,514	1,045	1,152	1,738
			31.2%	37.8%	31.1%	25.0%	20.9%	19.9%	24.6%
3	Water Supply & Distribution	13.96%	698	626	752	1442	1045	1266	1529
			16.2%	13.3%	14.5%	23.8%	20.9%	21.9%	21.7%
4	Others	8.53%	500	494	755	930	590	681	817
			11.6%	10.5%	14.5%	15.4%	11.8%	11.8%	11.6%
5	Loan Repayment	#DIV/0!						61.0	0.0
			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%
	TOTAL	8.53%	4319	4699	5204	6047	5008	5782	7058
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
III.	Surplus / Deficit		62	642	(39)	423	1,807	305	6,608

Source: GNN.

- 112. Revenue income found increased at a compounded annual growth rate (CAGR) of 21 percent during the assessment period. Further analysis of Revenue Income components Ghaziabad indicate that own tax revenue found increased at 10 percent CAGR and non-tax revenue also increased considerably (13 percent). Major sources of own revenue comprise property tax, other taxes, water charges, revenue grants and other miscellaneous fees and charges. Though total revenue income for GNN found increasing during the review period, the share of tax revenue was found decreasing from 43 percent (2001-02) to 24 percent (2007-08). Property Tax formed as a major component of own tax revenues contributing 50 percent of the total own tax revenues in FY07-08. During the review period, the property tax found with 15 percent CAGR. While property tax should be an important component of internally generated resources, Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam has still potential to exploit. With increasing trend, Revenue grant is one of the major revenue sources for GNN (68 percent). Amongst the non-tax revenues, water charges contributed only 0.4 percent of total revenue income and have found with more fluctuating growth trend.
- 113. Revenue expenditure has been found to be with steady increase from FY 2001-02 during the analysis period except FY 2005-06. Expenditure on general establishment and administration accounted for 10 percent of the total revenue expenditure in FY08. Of the total revenue expenditure, expenditure on operation and maintenance of municipal services constituted 78 percent 10 percent on other expenditure. Expenditure on development works mostly of capital works executed through grant funds and their repair (25 percent), public health and conservancy (32 percent), water supply (22 percent), are found to be the major components in the operation and maintenance expenditure during the review period. Operation and maintenance expenditure for sanitation has witnessed an increase at a CAGR of 9 percent and water supply & distribution expenditure has increased at a CAGR of 14 percent
- 114. *Key Financial Indicators*. A set of key financial indicators have been derived using the financial data procured from the GNN for the assessment period. These indicators are used to assess the municipal performance with regards resource mobilization, fund utilization, financial performance and collection efficiencies.
  - (i) <u>Resource Mobilization indicators</u>. These indicators summarize the performance of the GNN with regards sources of funds. GNN derives about 32 per cent of its revenue income from own sources (2007-08), which is a good sign but with scope for further improvements.
  - (ii) <u>Fund Application indicators</u>. These indicators are a measure to ascertain the utilization from the municipal fund. Only 10 per cent of the revenue expenditure is spent on establishment heads, leaving a good amount of over 90 per cent for O&M of municipal assets and services that include 21 percent for water supply account. Establishment expenditure accounts for about 21 per cent of the total own-tax revenue generated by the GNN.
  - (iii) <u>Overall Financial Performance indicators</u>. These indicators are a measure to assess the overall financial performance of the municipality with regards operational performance and effective growth in revenue income and expenditure. The average

operating ratio during the assessment period was less than unity and it was observed more than unity only for one year during the assessment period (FY 2003-04). The indicators of growth in per capita income and expenditure item heads indicate the effective growth, giving a performance measure relative to the growing population. Annual growth in per capita revenue income found to be consistently with increasing trend where as the per capita revenue expenditure during the assessment period, found to be with increasing trend except FY 2004-05 and FY 2006-07 (**Table 2-7**).

*				-			-	
S. No.	Details	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
1	Population	968,521	1,010,446	1,054,186	1,099,819	1,147,428	1197112	1248947
2	Revenue Income (Rs. Lakhs)	4,381	5,341	5,165	6,470	6,815	6,087	13,666
3	Revenue Expenditure (Rs. Lakhs)	4,319	4,699	5,204	6,047	5,008	5782	7058
4	Revenue Account Surplus (Rs. Lakh)	62	642	(39)	423	1,807	305	6,608
5	Per Capita Revenue Income (Rs.)	452	529	490	588	594		
6	Per Capita Revenue Expenditure (Rs.)	446	465	494	550	436	508	1094

 Table 2-8: Details of Per Capita Revenue Income and Expenditure – Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam

- 115. *Key Issues*. Financial management and fiscal status of Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam is summarized below:
  - (i) <u>Maintenance and Reporting of Accounts</u>. A common accounting and financial reporting code, updated annually, with interim up-dations when required, supported with a user manual and organized training of municipal accounts staff will substantially streamline financial accounting and reporting.
  - (ii) <u>Revenue Realization</u>. Taxes and charges are major own sources of revenue income. Being more dynamic in nature and within the control of the GNN, these revenue incomes have potential to contribute more to the municipal fund. Key issues regarding revenue realization comprise (i) coverage of properties under property tax, (ii) poor collection of water charges; (iii) unclear status on extent of outstanding taxes and charges collectable; and (iv) extent of gap between the cost of service provided and user charges levied.
  - (iii) <u>Fund Application</u>. Key issues regarding application from the municipal fund comprise (i) most of the revenue expenditure is accounted for by establishment item heads, (ii) an efficient system of costing to enable the actual cost of services provided in a scientific manner thus enabling logical mechanism for tariff structuring and (iii) the absence of information with regard to actual assets and liabilities of GNN at a particular point of time render it difficult to ascertain the actual fiscal position.
  - (iv) Operating Ratio. Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam has mostly maintained an operating ratio (OR) less than unity (ranging from 0.73 in FY06 to 0.99 in FY02) during the assessment year, except for one year with more than unity. A key reason for the mixed performance is tax revenue collection and expenditure management. However, Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam has untapped revenue potentials from property tax and water charges. While the OR indicates efficiency in revenue management, inability to capitalize on property tax and user charge revenues indicates over dependency on revenue grants and a level of complacency regarding other revenue income sources.
# 2. Cost Recovery and Profitability

- 116. Where cost recovery and/or profitability are primary objectives, the financial consequences of policies, strategies, and practices relating to the entity's (IA) operations or trade should be set out, for instance: (i) policies on recovery of costs of its products and/or services, (ii) tariffs and charges levied, (iii) systems of establishing costs of products and/or services, (iv) inventory controls, and (v) possibility and extent of external regulation (e.g., by government).
- 117. *Existing Scenario*. Traffic responsibility in the city lies with multiple agencies and Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) is responsible for the traffic problems in city roads including parking and terminal facilities. Presently terminal facilities are provided by UPSRTC for their buses only and there is no proper terminal facility for other buses. Accordingly, the proposed project also has envisaged a centralized bus terminal for all buses with user fee for its sustainability.
- 118. Policy Initiatives. GNN does not have adequate operational income to finance operational expenses. This calls for institutional reform to improve the financial base in the short term, including (i) an increase in the property tax base by reassessment or mapping and computerized database, (ii) a gradual increase in user charges, and (iii) improvement in collection efficiency. The memorandum of agreement for the JNNURM financing requires ULBs, amongst others things, to adopt (i) accrual-based double-entry accounting, (ii) geographic information systems based property tax with collection efficiency at 85% within the next 7 years, and (iii) reasonable user charges for O&M cost recovery within the next 7 years. This stimulates considerable reform approach among the states in municipal administration, including UP State.
- 119. Both ADB and JNNURM, the major urban development partners of the state, have focused on 100% O&M cost recovery through user charges as the medium term targets for urban infrastructure projects.
- 120. User Charges. The project benefits are city-wide and this is an obligatory public traffic safety function (cost recovery project). Presently though there is property tax there is no levying of transport component in the property tax. Also private sector, UPSRTC and GNN are engaged in providing dedicated terminal facilities for trucks and buses for nominal monthly charges. Also, The nearest Anand Vihar Inter-state Bus Terminal is also planned for development by Delhi Government with user fee charges for the different services. Therefore it would be necessary for the Municipal Corporation to follow the same user fee system to raise revenues to meet the O&M cost and to recover the capital cost also.
- 3. Financial Projections with Bus Terminal Project Impact
- 121. Presently there is no separate component in property tax for transport and no monthly user charges from households. However, there are similar user charges for vehicular parking, terminal entry fee for buses and commercial place rentals in Ghaziabad city at different

locations. Willingness to pay survey results from the Baseline Survey in Ghaziabad MC also reveals that better scope for user charges with better service delivery. In case of GNN implementing the project, responsibility of the loan (both capital and interest repayments) and the initial equity participation along with the O&M will be from GNN fund. Also the estimated revenue from the project will also go to GNN. With this background, it has become necessary to ascertain that projected cash flow of the GNN can support all these project related aspects

- 122. Financial projections for GNN was carried out with the objective of assessing the likely future financial position of the GNN with respect to meet the existing revenue expenditure commitments and also availability of surplus fund to sustain the proposed bus terminal project from its general fund without resorting for new revenue source for the project through tax. With this objective, i) financials of the GNN was carried out for the near future and ii) impact analysis of the proposed project, provided it is funded through the GNN budget.
- 123. Towards this the GNN municipal account was projected upto 2020-21 (that covers the implementation and debt service period) with the assumption that the trend observed during the last seven years will continue further. Details of projection of GNN accounts and project specific cash flow are presented in **Appendix 2-5**.
- 124. For the project impact analysis, the funding requirements of the project were loaded into the financial projections through additional project cash flow and find out the net projected deficit / surplus after the project funding.
  - For the same the proposed bus terminal project with total cost of Rs 507 million is proposed to be implemented in three years from FY2010-11 with the funding from NCRPB was considered. The tenure of the loan will be of 10 years (two years moratorium and eight years repayment) with about 9 percent. Balance equity of 25 percent will be from GNN internal fund.
  - Also the debt service for the proposed NCRPB loan, required project equity component and the incremental O&M cost also projected and loaded into the GNN financials.
- 125. Analysis results indicate that the proposed bus terminal subproject with NCRPB loan funding can be sustained by GNN from its general account. This is mainly due to the increase in tax revenue and government transfer / grant and the resultant growth in surplus fund and finally the surplus generated from the project.
- 126. This underlines the fact that GNN can implement the project under the present revenue pattern without any fresh tax and / or user charges. However, in line with the JNNURM policy reform initiatives, GNN will implement this project as 'cost recovery' one through suitable user charges.

WSA\_NCRPB\_FR BTGZB\_Vol V-C2 (15 Jul 10)

2020-21 15,575 15,388 316 518 647 475 834 647 43 2019-20 14,411 14,616 643 643 287 475 561 848 86 2018-19 13,46013,685 640 640 475 603 128 865 261 2017-18 12,459 12,789 554 554 238 475 646 884 171 2016-17 11,575 11,930 216 550 550 214 475 689 905 2015-16 11,112 10,731 196 475 732 928 547 547 257 2014-15 10,337 9.858 178 775 474 474 475 953 299 2013-14 1,116 8.959 9,604 479 475 954 162 471 471 2012-13 8,915 9,383 468 468 0 ī ı . 2011-12 8,268 7,508 2,281 3,041 3,041 2,281 2010-11 7,664 1,5212,027 2,027 7.157 1,521 Net Surplus / Deficit After Debt Service Annual Surplus / Deficit CAPEX (Equity + Loan) Incremental O&M a/ b/ Incremental Project **Total Debt Service** Project Cash Flow Loan Drawdown Loan Repayment Total Outflow Debt Service **Total Inflow** Revenues Outflow Details Inflow Intrest S. No. В. с<sup>;</sup> Å Π

Table 2-8: Details of Projected Project Cash Flow for Bus Terminal Project, Ghaziabad

# 4. Financial Improvement Action Plan

- 127. ULB level financial projection analysis indicates that even in the case of not introduction of property tax based transport tax along with increase in collection efficiency or not implementing the user fee for vehicular parking, the full bus terminal project can be supported by the Ghaziabad ULB. This is with the assumption that the present trend in income and expenditure pattern will continue for the near future. Also this is mainly because the projected revenue account and the resultant overall status of financial for Ghaziabad ULB do have adequate strength to support the present project in total. However, as a cost recovery function, GNN initiate the necessary actions to introduce project specific revenue source through user charges for parking and commercial rental to sustain the same in the long run.
- 128. *Affordability Analysis.* With the backdrop of the willingness to pay survey results for the transport system in Ghaziabad, it is evident that the use of user charges for vehicular parking services and entry fee for buses are already in practice and accepted by the users. The required tariff rates (user charges) for the year 2013-14 presented in **Table 2-9** found to be reasonable and within the affordable level. This underlines the 'effective demand' for the proposed urban infrastructure services, backed-up with affordability from the consumers. Considering these, an appropriate 'Financial Improvement Action Plan' (FIAP) is worked out and presented in **Table 2-11**.

**Table 2-9**: Details of Estimated User Charges Requirement for Bus Terminal Project- Ghaziabad

 City

Details	Car	TW	Auto
Parking Space available (Nos.)	60	150	40
Makimum Parking demand Capacity / Day @ 10 turnover / space for TW and Auto and @ 5 turnover / space for cars & 80 % utilisation (No. of			
vehicles)	240	1200	320
Initial Year Parking Demand (No. of vehicles/Day)	216	1080	288
Annual Growth Rate for Parking Demand	6%	6%	6%
Parking Fee (Rs. / two hour)	10	5	5
Increase in Parking Fee ( at every 3rd year)	15%	15%	15%

	Commercial Space Rent & Advertisement Revenue (2013-14)					
Details	Area proposed for Commercial Purpose (Sqm)	Monthly Rent (Rs/Sqm)	Annual Rent (Rs/Sqm)	Annual Rent (Rs Million)		
kiosk (20%)	557	1875	22500	10.02		
Retail Area (35%)	974	1238	14850	11.57		
Office Area (45%)	1252	500	6000	6.01		
Advertisement	LS			9.60		

Item	Current Project Implementation Period		on	Post I	nplementation	
		2010 -11	2011 -12	2012 -13	2013 -14	Remarks
Bus Terminal						
Parking Charges - Car (Rs. / 2 hour)	Nil	-	-	-	10	15% increase in FY 2015-16 and once in
Parking Charges – Two Wheeler (Rs. / 2 hour)	Nil		-	-	5	every three years subsequently
Parking Charges – Auto rickshaw (Rs. / 2 hour)					5	
Commercial Space Monthly Rent (Rs. / sqm)	Nil					
i) kiosk					1875	
ii) Retail Area					1238	
iii) Office Area					500	

<b>Table 2-11</b> : Financial Improvement Action Plan
---

Source: Analysis

# 5. Cost Benefit Analysis

- 129. The projects for the purpose of financial analysis have been categorized as Service, Cost Recovery and Remunerative. The present project of bus terminal is a Cost Recovery one and accordingly feasibility analysis is carried out.
- 130. Weighted Average Cost of Capital. The financial viability of subprojects was assessed by comparing the subproject's financial internal rate of return (FIRR) with the financial opportunity cost of capital. As proxy for the financial opportunity cost of capital, the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) of the subprojects in real terms is used. The FIRR is the discount rate that equalizes the present values of costs and revenues over the subproject life, while the WACC represents the cost incurred by the GNN with the support of the UP State government in raising the capital necessary to implement the subprojects. The WACC was estimated based on the central governments on lending policy.

Item	NCRPB Lending <sup>b</sup>	Govt. of India Grant <sup>a</sup>	ULB Equity	WACC
Amount weighting	75%	0%	25%	
Nominal cost	9.00%	8.50%	10.00%	
Tax Rate	0	0	0	
Tax-Adjustable Nominal Cost	9.00%	8.50%	10.00%	
Inflation Rate	4.50%	4.50%	5.50%	
Real Cost	4.50%	4.00%	4.50%	
Minimum rate test [ 4.0%] <sup>d</sup>	4.00%	4.00%	4.50%	
Weighted Component of WACC	3.38%	0.00%	1.13%	4.50%
WACC = weighted average cost of capital, UP = Uttar Pradesh,				

Table 2-12: Weighted Average Cost of Capital (%) - Uttar Pradesh & Haryana

a - Nominal cost of Government of India grant is estimated at 8.5%, based on the Government's long-term bond rate.

b - Indicative Lending Rates for Loans by NCRPB for urban infrastructure projects

c - Global Price escalation is based on - INTERNATIONAL COST ESCALATION FACTORS 2008–2012, World Bank, Table 1.1 The global outlook in summary. Global Development Finance 2008: The Role of International Banking, page 8.

d - Preparing and Appraising Investment Projects, Guidelines for the Financial Governance and Management of Investment Projects Financed by ADB (pp 26)

# D. Financial Analysis of Subprojects

- 131. The revenue streams for the financial analysis of sub project include parking fee and rental from commercial space provided in the ground floor and first floor and entry fee for buses. A decision on implementing the above discussed revenue stream is critical to project sustenance. Apart from a revision on completion of the capital works, it would be necessary to revise the user charges periodically so as to compensate the increasing O&M cost.
- 132. The proposed bus terminal subproject is treated as 'cost recovery' project that has to sustain itself both for the capital and O&M through its user charges revenue stream. As similar practice of bus terminal operated by GNN with user fee is followed presently in the city, implementation of user charges for the project will not pose a problem. The project will be implemented by GNN and its impact on the GNN financials found to be satisfactory, as discussed above. However, a project specific financial analysis was carried out to ascertain its sustainability.
- 133. Initial project capital cost is estimated to Rs.507 million to be implemented during the two year constriction period (**Table 2-13**)

Details	Cost Rs Million
Base Cost	406
Landed Cost	507

Table 2-13: Project Capital Cost - Rs Million

Source: Consultant

- 134. Financial sustainability and viability analysis results for the proposed cost out flow (capital and O&M) and the user charge based revenue inflow indicate that there is substantial cost recovery in terms positive FIRR (10.4%). This underlines the full cost recovery potential to the subproject during the analysis period. Thus the project is found to be financially feasible as a standalone project, compared to its WACC of 4.5%.
- 135. Sensitivity analysis results shown in **Table 2.13** indicate that the project is found to be financially viable even at the worst scenario of combined effect of 10% increase in capital and O&M cost and 10% reduction in project revenue, the FIRR is estimated to 8%, which is well above the minimum requirement of 4.5% (WACC).

S. No.	Scenario	FIRR (%)
1	Base Case	10.4%
2	10% increase in capital cost	9.6%
3	10% increase in O&M cost	10.2%
4	10% decrease in Project revenue	9.2%
5	Combined effect of 10% increase in capital and O&M	8.0%
	cost and 10% reduction in project revenue	

# Table 2-14: Sensitivity Analysis Results

Source: Consultant

# 1. Risk Analysis

- 136. Of the three sensitivity scenarios (cost overrun, O&M cost increase and reduced beneficiaries) decrease in project revenue is the most vulnerable to project cash flow, followed by cost overrun. Considering the more sensitiveness of these variables, following implementation arrangements need to be focused more so as minimize the project risk:
  - Timely implementation of the project user charges through appropriate method;
  - Timely implementation of the project through appropriate procurement method in which incentive for early completion may be included;
  - Ensuring adequate project coverage of beneficiaries through proper traffic enforcement in the impact region for parking, bus circulation etc;
  - Adequate focus for LA related project components
- 2. Conclusion
- 137. The main evaluation has indicated that the proposed bus terminal subproject was found to be financially viable, with the calculated FIRR values are more to the WACC (4.15%), for the recommended user charges under FIAP. This under lines that the project can support cost recovery. In tune to the present state policy, the project can recover 100% O&M through user charges along with full capital cost recovery.

Appendix 1

#### Appendix 1-1: Economic Cost

#### 1. Economic Cost

1. The economic costs of capital works and annual operation and maintenance are calculated from the financial cost estimates on the following basis:

- (i) Price contingencies are excluded but physical contingencies are included because they represent real consumption of resources;
- (ii) Import duties and taxes are excluded because they represent transfer payments. For this the shadow exchange rate factor worked out below was used;

#### **Table 1:** Shadow exchange rate factor

	2008-09	2007-08	2006-07	2005-06
Details	RE	Actual	Actual	Actual
National export (free on board) = Ex *	766,934	655,864	571,779	456,418
National import (CIF) = Im*	1,305,503	1,012,312	840,506	660,409
Customs Duties =Ct*	84,710	72,029	62,819	46,645
AD-HOC STANDARD CONVERSION FACTOR				
(CF = (Ex + Im)/(Ex + Im+Ct))	0.961	0.959	0.957	0.960
Shadow exchange rate factor (Y):(Y=1/CF)	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04

\* - Source : Reserve Bank of India

RE - Revised Estimates

Note: Calculation Method based on the handout on Economic Analysis

(iii) The existence of unemployment and under-employment for unskilled workers within the Indian economy means that the opportunity cost of unskilled labour can be considered to be lower than its wage rate – a conversion factor of 0.5 of the market wage rate for agriculture casual labour is used to estimate the shadow wage rate;

Table 2: Shadow	Wage-rate Factor (Y)

Casual agriculture labor cost (Rs. per day)* (L)	80
National minimum wage of unskilled worker (Rs. per day)** (M)	159
Shadow Wage-rate Factor (Y); $Y = L/M$	0.50

\*- Minimum Agricultural Labor wage fixed by many sates incl. Tamil Nadu at Rs. 80 a day (for men working six hours) and Rs. 70 (for women working five hours)

\*\* Uttar Pradesh: Minimum Wages w.e.f. 01.04.2009 to 30.09.2009, Labor Department, Government of Uttar Pradesh.

- (iv) The market wage rate for skilled labour and the acquisition cost of land are considered to represent opportunity costs, as both factors are in demand;
- (v) All costs are valued using the domestic price numeraire, to enable an easier comparison with the information used to measure benefits (e.g. a significant component of benefit is the savings in resources, which would be used in the without project situation).

2. Estimated financial base cost without contingencies and allowances for the Ghaziabad Transport project (construction of a multi-storied parking in Ghaziabad City) is estimated Rs 435 million (excluding utility shifting, R&R and environment management expenditure) as shown in **Table 3**. Using the basis, the economic cost (resource cost) was estimated both for capital cost and operation & maintenance costs and presented in **Tables 4 to 5**. For estimating the economic cost from the financial cost, the following other assumptions were also considered:

#### A. Capital Cost

- Contingences and other allowances considered to the base cost (12%):
  - o Design Supervision Consultancy (DSC)+ Third Party Inspection (TPI) 3%,
  - o Information, Education & Communication (IEC) activities 1%,
  - Incremental Administration (PIU) 2%,
  - Physical contingency 3%,
  - Environmental mitigation 1%,
  - Social intervention 1%,
  - o Institutional development and capacity building activities1%
- Share of foreign cost to total project cost

#### Share of foreign Cost (%)

Sector	Services	Materials	Total
Water Supply	0.75%	2%	2.75%
Sewerage	0.75%	0%	0.75%
Drainage	0.75%	0%	0.75%
SWM	0.75%	0%	0.75%
Urban Transport	0.75%	0%	0.75%

- Tax and duties
  - o Local cost 12%
  - Foreign Cost 4%
- Share of unskilled labor in Local Cost 12%

#### B. Maintenance Cost

- Share of foreign cost to total project cost
  - Water supply –0%
  - $\circ$  Sewer 0%
  - o Strom water Drainage -0%
  - Solid Waste Management 0%
  - Urban Transport 0%
- Tax and duties
  - o Local cost 12%
  - o Foreign Cost 4%
- Share of unskilled labor in Local Cost 15%

Item	2010-12 Rs. Million
Construction of a Bus Terminal in Ghaziabad city*	405.56
Total	435.22

#### Table 3: Details of Base Financial Cost - Ghaziabad (Bus Terminal Construction)

\*- includes the LA cost (Lumpsum) of Rs 120 million.

Source: Consultant

# Table 4: Details of Resource Cost Estimation – Capital Cost (Ghaziabad Transport Component - Bus Terminal Construction)

	Fina	ncial Cost (Capital)	<b>Resource Cost (Capital)</b>		
Details	%	Rs Million	<b>Rs Million</b>	S P Factor	
Base Cost		405.56			
Allowances	12%	48.67			
Foreign Cost					
- Base cost & allowance	1%	3.41	3.15	0.92	
- Taxes & Duties	4%	0.13	-	-	
		3.54	3.15		
Local Cost			-		
- Unskilled labour	12%	54.10	27.05	0.50	
- Skilled labour & Others	88%	396.72	396.72	1.00	
- Taxes & Duties	12%	52.48	-	-	
		503.30	423.77		
Total		506.84	426.92		
Note:					

1. Shadow Exchange Rate Factor was worked on the RBI data on national exports, imports and exports and using the Method based on the ADB Handout on Economic Analysis

	Financial	Cost	Reso	ource Cost (Caj	pital)
Details	Rs Million (coll. & trans.)	Rs Million (Dist.& treat)	Rs Million (coll. & trans.)	Rs Million (Dist.& treat)	S P Factor
O&M Cost	16.22	-			
		-			
Foreign Cost	0%				
- Base cost	0.00	0.00	-	-	0.92
	-	-	-	-	
Local Cost	100%		-		
- Unskilled labour (25%)	4.06	0.00	2.03	-	0.50
- Skilled labour & Others (75%)	12.17	0.00	12.17	-	1.00
	16.22	-	14.19	-	
Total	16.22	-	14.19	-	

# Table 5 Details of Resource Cost Estimation – O&M Cost (Ghaziabad Transport – Bus Terminal Construction)

Source: Consultant

3. Considering 2009-10 as base year followed by two years construction period and 20 years implementation period considered for the analysis, the cash outflow for economic cost was worked out and presented in **Table 6**.

**Table 6:** Details of Phasing and Expenditure Flow

Economic Cost – Transport – Bus Terminal Construction (Ghaziabad City, Uttar Pradesh)

all values in Rs. Million						
Phasing	Year	Capital Cost	O & M Cost			
	2009-10					
40.00%	2010-11	170.77	-			
60.00%	2011-12	256.15	5.68			
0.00%	2012-13	-	14.19			
	2013-14	-	14.19			
	2014-15	-	14.19			
	2015-16	-	14.19			
	2016-17	-	14.19			
	2017-18	-	14.19			
	2018-19	-	14.19			
	2019-20	-	14.19			
	2020-21	_	14.19			
	2021-22	-	14.19			

2022-23	-	14.19
2023-24	-	14.19
2024-25	-	14.19
2025-26	-	14.19
2026-27	-	14.19
2027-28	-	14.19
2028-29	-	14.19
2029-30	-	14.19
2030-31	-	14.19
2031-32	-	14.19
2032-33	_	14.19
2030-31 2031-32	-	14.19 14.19

Source: Consultant

# Appendix 1-2 Valuing Economic Benefits – Bus Terminal Facility Construction Project under Transport Component

1. The benefits arising from improved urban transport infrastructure results in improved service level delivery in urban transport travel requirements in the Project city / town. Benefits are achieved through more effective connectivity, better speed, less traffic related issues like congestion, accidents etc.

2. Project beneficiaries will be those travelers for whom accessibility to economic and social activities will be improved through better road conditions, better accessibility, better public transport and traffic flows brought about by the sub-projects. This improvement is achieved by reducing the effort or inconvenience of travel between the origin of the traveler and the destination offering these activities.

3. Support urban infrastructure facilities like off-street vehicular parking, planned terminal for bus or trucks will also improve the quality of service delivery in terms of less traffic congestion on major city roads, improvement in traffic environment, better safety etc.

4. Present sub-project component in Ghaziabad City considered for the present report comprises construction of a bus terminal facility. Presently there are three bus terminals located at different locations (two operated by UP State Road Transport Corporation and one operated by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam for private buses) operating for different routes. Lack of integration between these terminals put the commuters and operators put into more difficulties that has resulted in much pressure on the urban transport service levels. Also the UPRSTC Terminal located in the CBD Area near GDA office is creating more traffic circulation problems. The increased demand for a centralized bus terminal with all the required additional facilities that can accommodate all the three terminals together to facilitate urban public transport problems to a large extent and accordingly Nagar Nigam area necessitates the construction of a bus terminal in the in that area identified in the Master Plan for bus terminal purpose..

5. The subproject in Ghaziabad is the proposed centralized bus terminal facility at GT Road adjacent to Loni Road accommodates for business and commercial center and parking facility for two wheelers, autos and cars on the ground floor along with the required parking bays for buses and related infrastructure facilities like workshops etc. First floor will have some commercial space and office space only. In total 60 car parking spaces, 150 two wheeler parking spaces and 60 auto spaces are provided to meet the future parking demand, apart from adequate bus bays. Also about 2780 sqm commercial spaces are provided in two floors for the use of Kiosks, retails and offices.

6. The sub proposal is to construct a centralized bus terminal facility so as to reduce the accessibility problems for the public transport users and public transport buses with free flow. Subproject proposals will benefit the vehicular traffic on the impact roads with less traffic congestion, less traffic conflict at junctions, increase the travel speed resulting in savings in

vehicle operating cost (VOC) and travel time, accommodate the fast growing vehicular traffic, uninterrupted movement for the major through traffic through the region, pedestrian safety including reduced road crossing time, better circulation and terminal facilities for the public transport and intermediate public transport vehicles etc.

7. The economic benefits considered in the present analysis for the bus terminal subproject in transport component in Ghaziabad city include:

- (i) Vehicle operating cost saving for buses with improved roads for circulation
- (ii) User charges collected from the parked vehicles,
- (iii) Rental charges collected from the commercial establishments and utilities operated
- (iv) Revenue from advertisements;
- (v) Incremental revenue from bus terminal operation; and .
- (vi) Additional employment generation.

8. The buses using the terminal will benefit from vehicle operating costs savings (VOC) due to improved road condition, conflict free movement and well laid out roads. The benefit is calculated for circulation length of 0.75 km for the estimated number of buses with a VOC of Rs. 19.34/km with the project and Rs. 30.44/km without the project. The roadway condition is represented by assuming a roughness index of 2.5 average with the project and 5.0 without the project. Also assumed is a speed increase of 10 kmph for conflict free movement with the project.

9. *Additional Employment*: The terminal facilities will employ an additional 110 personnel to include employees at the kiosks, terminal operation and parking areas and a minimum wage rate of INR 7,000 to 10,000 per month is assumed per employee. Employment at main retail and office space area is not included as it is assumed that those employments are not specific to the terminal.

Details	No. of employment	Monthly Rate	Annual Earning (Rs. Million)
Security Staff	9	8000	0.86
Maintenance staff	9	7000	0.76
Kiosks activities	84	10000	10.03
Administration	3	10000	0.36
Parking Staff	6	8000	0.58
Total	111		12.58

# **Employment Generation**

10. *User charges collected from the parked vehicles*: Based on the detailed parking demand study results and the existing trend in the vehicular parking business in the region and the available designed facilities, the following parameters were considered for estimating the parking revenue.

Details		Two Wheelers (TW)	Auto
Parking Space available (Nos.)	60	150	40
Maximum Parking demand Capacity / Day @ 10 turnover / space for TW and Auto and @ 5 turnover / space for cars &			
80 % utilisation (No. of vehicles)	240	1200	320
Initial Year Parking Demand (No. of vehicles/Day)	216	1080	288
Annual Growth Rate for Parking Demand	6%	6%	6%
Parking Fee (Rs. / two hour)	10	5	5
Increase in Paking Fee ( at every 3rd year)	15%	15%	15%

Table 6: Parameters considered for the Estimation of Revenue from Parking Fee – Bus Terminal

11. *Rental charges collected from the commercial establishments and utilities operated at the ground floor and first floor:* Based on the detailed demand study and the existing trend in the commercial rental business in the region, the following parameters were considered for estimating the rental revenue and revenue from advertisement.

	Comr	nercial Space l	Interest from	income		
Details	Area proposed for Commercial Purpose (Sqm)	Monthly Rent (Rs/Sqm)	Annual Rent (Rs/Sqm)	Annual Rent (Rs Million)	Advance received @ 5 months rent (Rs Million)	
kiosk (20%)	557	1875	22500	10.02	4.17	0.29
Retail Area (35%)	974	1238	14850	11.57	4.82	0.34
Office Area (45%)	1252	500	6000	6.01	2.50	0.18
Advertisement	LS			9.60		
Total	2783			37.20	11.50	0.81

**Table 7:** Estimation of Revenue from Commercial Space – Bus Terminal

12. *Revenue from the bus terminal operation through entry fee from buses*: Based on the detailed investigations with respect to estimated buses that will use the terminal, existing user charges followed in the city, adopted charges for the nearest Anand Vihar Bus Terminal by Delhi Government proposed for up gradation etc the following assumptions were used to estimate the revenue from entry fee for buses.

# Table 8: Revenue from Buses Entry Fee

No. of trips from the Bus stand near GDA	370
No. of trips from the other two Bus stands	200
Total daily trips	570
No of interstate bus trips (5%)	29
No of intrastate bus trips (95%)	542
Entry Fee - Interstate Bus (Rs/Trip)	50

Entry Fee - Intrastate Bus (Rs/Trip)	35
Annual growth rate in bus trips	3%
Fee Escalation (every 3rd year)	15%

13. Finally the estimated project benefits during the analysis period are given in **Table 8**.

Year	Economic Benefits						
	Vehicle operating cost saving for buses with improved roads for circulation	Parking Charges	Commercial Space & Advertisement Revenue	Bus Entry Fee Revenue	Addition al Employm ent	Total Benefits	
2009-10	-	_	-	-			
2010-11	-	-	-	-			
2011-12	-	-	-	-			
2012-13	2.92	2.03	38.01	6.72	12.58	62.26	
2013-14	3.01	2.15	38.01	6.93	12.58	62.67	
2014-15	3.10	2.28	38.01	7.13	12.58	63.10	
2015-16	3.19	2.64	43.59	8.45	12.58	70.46	
2016-17	3.29	2.67	43.59	8.70	12.58	70.83	
2017-18	3.39	2.88	43.59	8.96	12.58	71.40	
2018-19	3.49	3.34	50.00	10.62	12.58	80.04	
2019-20	3.60	3.38	50.00	10.94	12.58	80.50	
2020-21	3.70	3.42	50.00	11.27	12.58	80.98	
2021-22	3.81	3.99	57.38	13.34	12.58	91.11	
2022-23	3.93	4.55	57.38	13.74	12.58	92.19	
2023-24	4.05	4.61	57.38	14.16	12.58	92.78	
2024-25	4.17	5.38	65.87	16.77	12.58	104.77	
2025-26	4.29	5.38	65.87	17.27	12.58	105.40	
2026-27	4.42	5.38	65.87	17.79	12.58	106.04	
2027-28	4.55	6.19	75.63	21.07	12.58	120.03	
2028-29	4.69	6.19	75.63	21.70	12.58	120.79	
2029-30	4.83	6.19	75.63	22.36	12.58	121.59	
2030-31	4.98	7.11	86.86	26.48	12.58	138.01	
2031-32	5.13	7.11	86.86	27.27	12.58	138.95	
Total	78.54	86.86	1,165.17	291.69	251.64	1,873.90	
NPV at 12%	19.70	17.00	262.91	<b>7</b> 9.92	(( 00	425.22	

Table 8: Estimated Project Benefits – Bus Terminal in Ghaziabad

NPV at         12%         12%         158.83         66.89         425.32           Discount         18.79         17.99         262.81         58.83         66.89         425.32           Rate (Rs.         Million)         11000         11000         11000 <t< th=""><th></th></t<>	
--	--

- 14. *Exclusions*. The following benefits of transport component for the bus terminal construction have not been quantified for want of adequate data and quantification techniques. These qualitative benefits along with the quantifiable benefits discusses above, the proposed bus terminal construction will tend to provide better living condition in the project town.
  - (i) Improvement in the environment of the project site;
  - (ii) Savings in VOC due to the reduction in traffic congestion at CBD area ;
  - (iii) Savings in traffic accidents cost due to better traffic management; and
  - (iv) Effects on tourism and tourist-related businesses..

Year Ending	Ec	onomic C	ost			Economic Bene	fits			Net
March	Capital Cost	O & M Cost	Total Cost	Vehicle operating cost saving for buses with improved roads for circulation	Parkin g Charg es	Commercial Space & Advertisement Revenue	Bus Entry Fee Revenue	Additional Employment	Total Benefits	Cash Flow
2009-10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2010-11	170.77	-	170.77	-	-	-	-	-	-	(170.77)
2011-12	256.15	-	256.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	(256.15)
2012-13	-	14.19	14.19	2.92	2.03	38.01	6.72	12.58	62.26	48.07
2013-14	-	14.19	14.19	3.01	2.15	38.01	6.93	12.58	62.67	48.48
2014-15	-	14.19	14.19	3.10	2.28	38.01	7.13	12.58	63.10	48.90
2015-16	-	14.19	14.19	3.19	2.64	43.59	8.45	12.58	70.46	56.26
2016-17	-	14.19	14.19	3.29	2.67	43.59	8.70	12.58	70.83	56.64
2017-18	-	14.19	14.19	3.39	2.88	43.59	8.96	12.58	71.40	57.20
2018-19	-	14.19	14.19	3.49	3.34	50.00	10.62	12.58	80.04	65.85
2019-20	-	14.19	14.19	3.60	3.38	50.00	10.94	12.58	80.50	66.31
2020-21	-	14.19	14.19	3.70	3.42	50.00	11.27	12.58	80.98	66.78
2021-22	-	14.19	14.19	3.81	3.99	57.38	13.34	12.58	91.11	76.92
2022-23	-	14.19	14.19	3.93	4.55	57.38	13.74	12.58	92.19	77.99
2023-24	-	14.19	14.19	4.05	4.61	57.38	14.16	12.58	92.78	78.59
2024-25	-	14.19	14.19	4.17	5.38	65.87	16.77	12.58	104.77	90.57
2025-26	-	14.19	14.19	4.29	5.38	65.87	17.27	12.58	105.40	91.20
2026-27	-	14.19	14.19	4.42	5.38	65.87	17.79	12.58	106.04	91.85
2027-28	-	14.19	14.19	4.55	6.19	75.63	21.07	12.58	120.03	105.83
2028-29	-	14.19	14.19	4.69	6.19	75.63	21.70	12.58	120.79	106.60
2029-30	-	14.19	14.19	4.83	6.19	75.63	22.36	12.58	121.59	107.39
2030-31	-	14.19	14.19	4.98	7.11	86.86	26.48	12.58	138.01	123.81
2031-32	(282.92)	14.19	(268.73)	5.13	7.11	86.86	27.27	12.58	138.95	407.68
Total	144.00	283.89	427.89	78.54	86.86	1,165.17	291.69	251.64	1,873.90	1,446.01
NPV at 12% Discount Rate (Rs. mn)	297.58	75.47	373.05	18.79	17.99	262.81	58.83	66.89	425.32	52.27

Appendix 1-3: Economic Cost-Benefit Analysis – Bus Terminal (Values in Rs. Million)

EIRR 13.85%
-------------

# Appendix 1-3: Sensitivity Analysis – Bus Terminal

Appendix I-	5. Sensitivi		ase Case	Construction of		20% increase in		enario	20% is	ncrease in O&	M Cost Scor	ario	20	% decrease	in Project R	enefits Scenario	One	Year delay i	n imnlaman	tation		Combin		(Rs. Millio
	Total	Total	Total	Net Economic	Total	Total	Total	Net Economic	Total	Total	Total	Net	Total	Total	Total	Net Economic Benefits	Total	Total	Total	Net	Total	Total	Total	Net
Year	Economic	Economic	Economic	Benefits	Economic	Economic	Economic	Benefits	Economic	Economic	Economic	Economic	Economic	Economic	Economic	The Economic Denems	Economic	Economic	Economic	Economic	Economic	Economic		Economic
	Capital	O&M Cost	Benefits		Capital	O&M Cost	Benefits		Capital Cost	O&M Cost	Benefits	Benefits	Capital	O&M Cost	Benefits		Capital	0&M	Benefits	Benefits	Capital	0&M	Benefits	Benefits
	Cost				Cost								Cost				Cost	Cost			Cost	Cost		
2009-10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					0.001			-
2010-11	170.77	-	-	(170.77)	204.92	-	-	(204.92)	170.77	-	-	(170.77)	170.77	-	-	(170.77)	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
2011-12	256.15	-	-	(256.15)	307.38	-	-	(307.38)	256.15	-	-	(256.15)	256.15	-	-	(256.15)	170.77	-	-	(170.77)	204.92		-	(204.92
2012-13	-	14.19	62.26	48.07	-	14.19	62.26	48.07	-	17.03	62.26	45.23	-	14.19	49.81	35.61	256.15	-	-	(256.15)	307.38		-	(307.38
2013-14	-	14.19	62.67	48.48	-	14.19	62.67	48.48	-	17.03	62.67	45.64	-	14.19	50.14	35.94	-	14.19	62.26	48.07	-	17.03	49.81	32.78
2014-15	-	14.19	63.10	48.90	-	14.19	63.10	48.90	-	17.03	63.10	46.07	-	14.19	50.48	36.28	-	14.19	62.67	48.48	-	17.03	50.14	33.10
2015-16	-	14.19	70.46	56.26	-	14.19	70.46	56.26	-	17.03	70.46	53.42	-	14.19	56.36	42.17	-	14.19	63.10	48.90	-	17.03	50.48	33.45
2016-17	-	14.19	70.83	56.64	-	14.19	70.83	56.64	-	17.03	70.83	53.80	-	14.19	56.66	42.47	-	14.19	70.46	56.26	-	17.03	56.36	39.33
2017-18	-	14.19	71.40	57.20	-	14.19	71.40	57.20	-	17.03	71.40	54.37	-	14.19	57.12	42.92	-	14.19	70.83	56.64	-	17.03	56.66	39.63
2018-19	-	14.19	80.04	65.85	-	14.19	80.04	65.85	-	17.03	80.04	63.01	-	14.19	64.03	49.84	-	14.19	71.40	57.20	-	17.03	57.12	40.09
2019-20	-	14.19	80.50	66.31	-	14.19	80.50	66.31	-	17.03	80.50	63.47	-	14.19	64.40	50.21	-	14.19	80.04	65.85	-	17.03	64.03	47.00
2020-21	-	14.19	80.98	66.78	-	14.19	80.98	66.78	-	17.03	80.98	63.95	-	14.19	64.78	50.59		14.19	80.50	66.31	-	17.03	64.40	47.37
2021-22	-	14.19	91.11	76.92	-	14.19	91.11	76.92	-	17.03	91.11	74.08	-	14.19	72.89	58.70	-	14.19	80.98	66.78	-	17.03	64.78	47.75
2022-23	-	14.19	92.19	77.99	-	14.19	92.19	77.99	-	17.03	92.19	75.15	-	14.19	73.75	59.55	-	14.19	91.11	76.92	-	17.03	72.89	55.86
2023-24	-	14.19	92.78	78.59	-	14.19	92.78	78.59	-	17.03	92.78	75.75	-	14.19	74.22	60.03	-	14.19	92.19	77.99	-	17.03	73.75	56.72
2024-25	-	14.19	104.77	90.57	-	14.19	104.77	90.57	-	17.03	104.77	87.74	-	14.19	83.82	69.62	-	14.19	92.78	78.59	-	17.03	74.22	57.19
2025-26	-	14.19	105.40	91.20	-	14.19	105.40	91.20	-	17.03	105.40	88.36	-	14.19	84.32	70.12	-	14.19	104.77	90.57	-	17.03	83.82	66.78
2026-27	-	14.19	106.04	91.85	-	14.19	106.04	91.85	-	17.03	106.04	89.01	-	14.19	84.84	70.64	-	14.19	105.40	91.20	-	17.03	84.32	67.28
2027-28	-	14.19	120.03	105.83	-	14.19	120.03	105.83	-	17.03	120.03	102.99	-	14.19	96.02	81.83	-	14.19	106.04	91.85	-	17.03	84.84	67.80
2028-29	-	14.19	120.79	106.60	-	14.19	120.79	106.60	-	17.03	120.79	103.76	-	14.19	96.64	82.44	-	14.19	120.03	105.83	-	17.03	96.02	78.99
2029-30	-	14.19	121.59	107.39	-	14.19	121.59	107.39	-	17.03	121.59	104.55	-	14.19	97.27	83.07	-	14.19	120.79	106.60	-	17.03	96.64	79.60
2030-31	-	14.19	138.01	123.81	-	14.19	138.01	123.81	-	17.03	138.01	120.97	-	14.19	110.41	96.21	-	14.19	121.59	107.39	-	17.03	97.27	80.24
2031-32	(282.92)	14.19	138.95	407.68	(339.51)	14.19	138.95	464.26	(282.92)	17.03	138.95	404.84	(282.92)	14.19	111.16	379.89	-	14.19	138.01	123.81	-	17.03	110.41	93.37
Fotal	144.00	283.89	1873.90	1446.01	172.80	283.89	1873.90	1417.21	144.00	340.67	1873.90	1389.23	144.00	283.89	1499.12	1071.23	426.92	269.70	1734.95	1038.33	512.31	323.64	1387.96	552.0
NPV @12% Rs. Million)	333.29	84.52	476.36	58.54	399.95	84.52	476.36	-8.12	333.29	101.43	476.36	41.63	333.29	84.52	381.08	-36.73	318.46	74.42	415.07	22.18	382.15	89.30	332.05	-139.4
(AND INTIMOIL)										1	i i			1			i	i i	1	i i				·
EIRR (%)				13.85%				11.78%				13.31%				10.80%				12.89%				6,77%

#### The Constitution (Seventy-Fourth Amendment) Act, 1992

An Act Further to amend the Constitution of India

Be it enacted by Parliament in the Forty-third Year of the Republic of India as follows:-

(1) This Act may be called the Constitution (Seventy-fourth Amendment) Act, 1992
 (2) It shall come into force on such date as the Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint

2. After Part IX of the Constitution, the following Part shall be inserted, namely:-

#### 1. 'PART IXA'

#### THE MUNICIPALITIES

# 1.1 243P. In this Part, unless the context otherwise requires,-

(a) "Committee" means a Committee constituted under article 243S;

- (b) "district' means a district of a State;
- (c) "Metropolitan area" means an area having a population of ten lakhs or more comprised in one or more districts and consisting of two or more Municipalities or Panchayats or other contiguous areas, specified by the Governor by public notification to be a Metropolitan area for the purposes of this Part;
- (d) "Municipal area" means the territorial area of a Municipality as is notified by the Governor;
- (e) "Municipality" means an institution of self-government constituted under article 243Q;
- (f) "Panchayat" means a Panchayat constituted under article 243B;
- (a) "population" means the population as ascertained at the last preceding census of which the relevant figures have been published.

243Q. (1) There shall be constituted in every State,-

- (a) a Nagar Panchayat (by whatever name called) for a transitional area, that is to say, an area in transition from a rural area to an urban area;
- (b) a Municipal Council for a smaller urban area; and
- (c) a Municipal Corporation for a larger urban area,

in accordance with the provisions of this Part:

Provided that a Municipality under this clause may not be constituted in such urban area or part thereof as the Governor may, having regard to the size of the area and the municipal services being provided or proposed to be provided by an industrial establishment in that area and such other factors as he may deem fit, by public notification, specify to be an industrial township.

(2) In this article, "a transitional area" "a smaller urban area" or "a larger urban area" means such area as the Governor may, having regard to the population of the area, the density of the population therein the revenue generated for local administration, the percentage of employment in non-agricultural activities, the economic importance or such other factors as he may deem fit, specify by public notification for the purposes of this Part.

243R. (1) Save as provided in clause (2), all the seats in a Municipality shall be filled by persons chosen by direct election from the territorial constituencies in the Municipal area and for this purpose each Municipal area shall be divided into territorial constituencies to be known as wards.

(2) The Legislature of a State may, by law, provide,-

(a) for the representation in a Municipality of –

(i) persons having special knowledge or experience in Municipal administration;

(ii) the members of the House of the People and the members of the Legislative Assembly of the State representing constituencies which comprise wholly or partly the Municipal area;

(iii) the members of the Council of States and the members of the Legislative Council of the State registered as electors within the Municipal area;

(iv) the Chairpersons of the Committees constituted under clause (5) of article 243S.

Provided that the persons referred to in paragraph (i) shall not have the right to vote in the meetings of the Municipality;

(b) the manner of election of the Chairperson of a Municipality.

243S. (1) There shall be constituted Wards Committees, consisting of one or more wards, within the territorial area of a Municipality having a population of three lakhs or more.

(2) The Legislature of a State may, by law, make provision with respect to-

(a) the composition and the territorial area of a Wards Committee;

(b) the manner in which the seats in a Wards Committee shall be filled

(3) A member of a Municipality representing a ward within the territorial area of the Wards Committee shall be a member of that Committee.

(4) Where a Wards Committee consists of –

(a) one ward, the member representing that ward in the Municipality; or

(b) two or more wards, one of the members representing such wards in the Municipality elected by the Members of the Wards Committee, shall be the Chairperson of that Committee.

(5) Nothing in this article shall be deemed to prevent the Legislature of a State from making any provision for the constitution of Committees in addition to the Wards Committees.

243T. (1) Seats shall be reserved for the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes in every Municipality and the number of seats so reserved shall bear, as nearly as may be, the same proportion to the total number of seats to be filled by direct election in that Municipality as the population of the Scheduled Castes in the Municipal area or of the Scheduled Tribes in the Municipal area bears to the total population of that area and such seats may be allotted by rotation to different constituencies in a Municipality.

(2) Not less than one-third of the total number of seats reserved under clause (1) shall be reserved for women belonging to the Scheduled Castes or, as the case may be, the Scheduled Tribes.

(3) Not less than one-third (including the number of seats reserved for women belonging to the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes) of the total number of seats to be filled by direct election in every Municipality shall be reserved for women and such seats may be allotted by rotation to different constituencies in a Municipality.

(4) The office of Chairpersons in the Municipalities shall be reserved for the Scheduled Castes, the Scheduled Tribes and women in such manner as the Legislature of a State may, by law, provide.
(5) The reservation of seats under clauses (1) and (2) and the reservation of office of Chairpersons (other than the reservation for women) under clause (4) shall cease to have effect on the expiration of the period specified in article 334.

(6) Nothing in this Part shall prevent the Legislature of a State from making any provision for reservation of seats in any Municipality or office of Chairpersons in the Municipalities in favour of backward class of citizens.

243U. (1) Every Municipality, unless sooner dissolved under any law for the time being in force, shall continue for five years from the date appointed for its first meeting and no longer:

Provided that a Municipality shall be given a reasonable opportunity of being heard before its dissolution.

(2) No amendment of any law for the time being in force shall have the effect of causing dissolution of a Municipality at any level, which is functioning immediately before such amendment, till the expiration of its duration specified in clause (1).

(3) An election to constitute a Municipality shall be completed,-

(a) before the expiry of its duration specified in clause (1);

(b) before the expiration of a period of six months from the date of its dissolution:

Provided that where the remainder of the period for which the dissolved Municipality would have continued is less than six months, it shall not be necessary to hold any election under this clause for constituting the Municipality for such period.

(4) A Municipality constituted upon the dissolution of Municipality before the expiration of its duration shall continue only for the remainder of the period for which the dissolved Municipality would have continued under clause (1) had it not been so dissolved.

243V. (1) A person shall be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of a Municipality-

> (a) if he is so disqualified by or under any law for the time being in force for the purposes of elections to the Legislature of the State concerned:

Provided that no person shall be disqualified on the ground that he is less than twenty-five years of age, if he has attained the age of twenty-one years;

(b) if he is so disqualified by or under any law made by the Legislature of the State.

(2) If any question arises as to whether a member of a Municipality has become subject to any of the disqualifications mentioned in clause (1), the question shall be referred for the decision of such authority and in such manner as the Legislature of a State may, by law, provide. 243W. Subject to the provisions of this Constitution, the Legislature of State may, by law, endow-

(a) The Municipalities with such powers and authority as may be necessary to enable them to function as institutions of self-government and such law may contain provision for the devolution of powers and responsibilities upon Municipalities, subject to such conditions as may be specified therein, with respect to-

(i) the preparation of plans for economic development and social justice;

(ii) the performance of functions and the implementation of schemes as may be entrusted to them including those in relation to the matter listed in the Twelfth Schedule;

(b) the committees with such powers and authority as may be necessary to enable them to carry out the responsibilities conferred upon them including those in relation to the matters listed in the Twelfth Schedule.

#### 1.2 243X. The Legislature of a State may, by law-

(a) authorise a Municipality to levy, collect and appropriate such taxes, duties, tolls and fees in accordance with such procedure and subject to such limits;

(b) assign to a Municipality such taxes, duties, tolls and fees levied and collected by the State Government for such purposes and subject to such conditions and limits;

(c) provide for making such grants-in-aid to the Municipalities from the Consolidated Fund of the State: and

(d) provide for constitution of such funds for crediting all moneys received, respectively, by or on behalf of the Municipalities and also for the withdrawal of such moneys therefrom,

#### as may be specified in the law.

243Y. (1) The Finance Commission constituted under article 243-I shall also review the financial position of the Municipalities and make recommendations to the Governor as to-

(a) the principles which should govern-

(i) the distribution between the State and the Municipalities of the net proceeds of the taxes, duties, tolls and fees leviable by the State, which may be divided between them under this Part and the allocation between the Municipalities at all levels of their respective shares of such proceeds;

(ii) the determination of the taxes duties, tolls and fees which may be assigned to, or appropriated by, the Municipalities;

(iii) the grants-in-aid to the Municipalities from the Consolidated Fund of the State;

- (b) the measures needed to improve the financial position of the Municipalities;
- (c) any other matter referred to the Finance Commission by the Governor in the interests of sound finance of the Municipalities.

(2) The Governor shall cause every recommendation made by the Commission under this article together with an explanatory memorandum as to the action taken thereon to be laid before the Legislature of the State.

243Z. The Legislature of a State may, by law, make provisions with respect to the maintenance of accounts by the Municipalities and the audit of such accounts.

243ZA. (1) The superintendence, direction and control of the preparation of electoral rolls for, and the conduct of, all elections to the Municipalities shall be vested in the State Election Commission referred to in article 243K.

(2) Subject to the provisions of this Constitution, the Legislature of a State may, by law, make provision with respect to all matters relating to or in connection with, elections to the Municipalities.

243ZB. The provisions of this Part shall apply to the Union territories and shall, in their application to a Union territory, have effect as if the references to the Governor of a State were references to the Administrator of the Union Territory appointed under article 239 and references to the Legislature or the Legislative Assembly of a State were references in relation to a Union Territory having a Legislative Assembly, to that Legislative Assembly.

Provided that the President may, by public notification, direct that the provisions of this Part shall apply to any Union territory or part thereof subject to such exceptions and modifications as he may specify in the notification.

243ZC. (1) Nothing in this Part shall apply to the Scheduled Areas referred to in clause (1), and the tribal areas referred to in clause (2), of article 244.

(2) Nothing in this Part shall be construed to affect the functions and powers of the Darjeeling Gorkha Hill Council constituted under any law for the time being in force for the hill areas of the distinct of Darjeeling in the State of West Bengal.

(3) Notwithstanding anything in this Constitution, Parliament may, by law, extend the provisions of this Part to the Scheduled Areas and the tribal areas referred to in clause (1) subject to such exceptions and modifications as may be specified in such law, and no such law shall be deemed to be an amendment of this Constitution for the purposes of article 368.

243ZD. (1) There shall be constituted in every State at the district level a District Planning Committee to consolidate the plans prepared by the Panchayats and the Municipalities in the district and to prepare a draft development plan for the district as a whole.

(2) The Legislature of a State may by law, make provision with respect to-

(a) the composition of the District Planning Committees;

(b) the manner in which the seats in such Committees shall be filled:

Provided that not less than four-fifths of the total number of members of such Committee shall be elected by, and from amongst, the elected members of the Panchayat at the diacritic level and of the Municipalities in the district in proportion to the ratio between the population of the rural areas and of the urban areas in the district;

(c) the functions relating to district planning which may be assigned to such Committees;

(d) the manner in which the Chairpersons of such Committee shall be chosen.

(3) Every District Planning Committee shall, in preparing the draft development plan, -

(a) have regard to -

(i) matters of common interest between the Panchayats and the Municipalities including spatial planning, sharing of water and other physical and natural resources, the integrated development of infrastructure and environmental conservation;

(ii) the extent and type of available resources whether financial or otherwise;

(b) consult such institutions and organisations as the Governor may, by order, specify.

(4) The Chairpersons of every District Planning Committee shall forward the development plan, as recommended by such Committee, to the Government of the State.

243ZE.(1) There shall be constituted in every metropolitan area a Metropolitan Planning Committee to prepare a draft development plan for the Metropolitan area as a whole.

(2) The legislature of a State may, by law, make provision with respect to –

(a) the composition of the Metropolitan Planning Committees;

(b) the manner in which the seats in such Committees shall be filled:

Provided that not less than two-thirds of the members of such Committee shall be elected by, and from amongst the elected members of the municipalities and chairpersons of the Panchayats in the Metropolitan area in proportion to the ratio between the population of the Municipalities and of the Panchayats in that area;

(c) the representation in such Committees of the Government of India and the Government of the State and of such organisation and institutions as may be deemed necessary for carrying out of functions assigned to such Committees;

(d) the functions relating to planning and coordination for the metropolitan area which may be assigned to such Committees;

(e) the manner in which the Chairpersons of such Committees shall be chosen.

(3) Every Metropolitan Planning Committee shall, in preparing the draft development plan -

(a) have regard to –

(i) the plans prepared by the Municipalities and the Panchayats in the Metropolitan area;

(ii) matter of common interest between the Municipalities and the Panchayats, including coordinated spatial planning of the area, sharing of water and other physical and natural resources, the integrated development of infrastructure and environmental conservation;

(iii) the overall objectives and priorities set by the Government of India and the Government of the State;

(iv) the extent and nature of investments likely to be made in Metropolitan area by agencies of the Government of India and of the Government of the State and other available resources whether financial or otherwise;

(b) consult such institutions and organizations as the Governor may, by order, specify.

(4) The Chairperson of every Metropolitan Planning Committee shall forward the development plan, as recommended by such Committee, to the Government of the State.

243ZF. Notwithstanding anything in this Part, any provision of any law relating to Municipalities in force in a State immediately before the commencement of the Constitution (Seventy-fourth Amendment) act, 1992, which is inconsistent with the provisions of this Part, shall continue to be in force until amended or repealed by the competent Legislature or other competent authority or until the expiration of one year from such commencement, whichever is earlier:

Provided that all the Municipalities existing immediately before such commencement shall continue till the expiration of their duration, unless sooner dissolved by a resolution passed to that effect by the Legislative Assembly of that State or, in the case of a State having a Legislative Council, by each House of the Legislature of the State.

243ZG. Notwithstanding anything in this Constitution -

(a) the validity of any law relating to the delimitation of constituencies or the allotment of seats to such constituencies, made or purporting to be made under article 243ZA shall not be called in question in any count;

(b) no election to any Municipality shall be called in question except by an election petition presented to such authority and in such manner as is provided for by or under any law made by the Legislature of a State;

(3). In clause (3) of article 280 of the Constitution, sub clause (c) shall be lettered as sub-clause (d) and before sub-clause (d) as so relettered, the following sub-clause shall be inserted, namely:-

"(c) the measures needed to augment the Consolidated Fund of a State to supplement the resources of the Municipalities in the State on the basis of the recommendations made by the Finance Commission of the State;".

(4) After the Eleventh Schedule to the Constitution, the following Schedule shall be added, namely:-

# "TWELFTH SCHEDULE

(Article 243W)

1. Urban Planning including town planning

2. Regulation of land-use and construction of buildings.

3. Planning for economic and social developments

4. Roads and bridges.

5. Water supply for domestic, industrial and commercial purposes.

6. Public health, sanitation conservancy and solid waste management.

7. Fire services.

8. Urban forestry, protection of the environment and promotion of ecological aspects.

9. Safeguarding the interests of weaker sections of society, including the handicapped and mentally retarded.

10. Slum improvement and upgradation.

11. Urban poverty alleviation.

12. Provision of urban amenities and facilities such as parks, gardens, playgrounds.

13. Promotion of cultural, educational and aesthetic aspects.

14. Burials and burial grounds; cremations, cremation grounds and electric crematoriums.

15. Cattle pounds; prevention of cruelty to animals.

16. Vital statistics including registration of births and deaths.

17. Public amenities including street lighting, parking lots, bus stops and public conveniences.

18. Regulation of slaughter houses and tanneries".

#### National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB)

1. The National Capital Region Planning Board (NCRPB), constituted in 1985 under the provisions of NCRPB Act, 1985<sup>1</sup>, is a statutory body functioning under the Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India. NCRPB has a mandate to systematically develop the National Capital Region (NCR) of India which comprises of (i) National Capital Territory Delhi (constitutes 4.4 percent of NCR area); (ii) Haryana Sub-region (40.0 percent of NCR area); (iii) Rajasthan Sub-region (23.3 percent of NCR area); (iv) Uttar Pradesh Sub-region (32.3 percent of NCR area) and (v) Five Counter Magnet Areas (CMA) The project town Ghaziabad City also part of the NCR.

2. According to the NCRPB Act, 1985 major functions of the Board include: (i)Preparation of the Regional Plan and Functional Plans; (ii) Coordinate enforcement and implementation of the Regional Plan, Functional Plans, Sub-regional Plans, and Project Plans through the participating states and NCT; (iii) Ensure proper and systematic programming by the participating states and the NCT in project formulation, determination of priorities in NCR or Sub-regions and phasing of the development of NCR in accordance with



the stages indicated in regional plan; and, (v) Arrange and oversee the financing of selected development project in the NCR through Central and State Plan funds and other sources of revenue.

3. NCRPB has prepared regional plan for NCR area with the perspective year 2021. Further, the Board also initiated preparation of functional plans to elaborate one or more elements of the Regional Plan. Accordingly the functional plan for water supply and transport is under preparation but plans for other infrastructure is yet to take off.

4. NCRPB has been providing financial assistance to the participating state governments, ULBs, and other IAs in the NCR and in counter magnet towns. Till March 2008, NCRPB has financed 212 infrastructure projects involving total project outlays exceeding Rs. 139 billion. It has sanctioned loans amounting to Rs. 53 billion and disbursed Rs. 33.3 billion<sup>2</sup>. NCRPB gives significant emphasis for building water supply and sanitation infrastructure.

5. NCRPB Act 1985, Chapter VI discusses the provisions for finance, accounts and audit that regulate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD ACT, 1985, No.2 OF 1985, 9th February, 1985, published by The Gazette of India on FEBRUARY 11, 1985. This Act provide for the constitution of a Planning Board for the preparation of a plan for the development of the National Capital Region and for co-ordinating and monitoring the implementation of such plan and for evolving harmonized policies for the control of land-uses and development of infrastructure in the National Capital Region so as to avoid any haphazard development of that region and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Annual Report 2007-2008, NCRPB

NCRPB accounting policies. It discusses about the financial sources, constitution of NCRPB Fund, requirement of annual budget, annual report etc, account and audit requirements, Annual auditors' report and report to be laid before Parliament.

6. The accounts of the NCRPB will be maintained and audited in such manner as may be prescribed in consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India and the Board will furnish, to the Central Government, before such date as may be prescribed, a copy of its audited accounts together with the auditors' report thereon. Annual auditors' report to be laid before Parliament.

7. NCRPB maintains annual accounts in the form of Income & Expenditure Account (Plan & Non-Plan), Balance Sheets and detailed Receipts & Payment Account with appropriate Schedules. Review of NCRPB Annual Accounts during the period FY 2002-03 to FY 2008-09 indicate the following: (**Table Error**! No text of specified style in document.-1)

- Income, expenditure and net revenue under Plan head form the major revenue source
- Plan income is observed with fluctuating trend over the analysis period.
- Plan expenditure found drastically reduced from Rs 1055 million in FY 2002-03 to Rs 252 million in FY 2008-09 which had resulted in increase trend of net plan income.
- Under Non-Plan head, both income and expenditure found to be more or less equal resulting no surplus during the analysis period.
- Under non-plan, salaries and office expenses are the major expenditure items and grant in-aids and interest receipts from provident fund are the major revenue item.





Table Error! No text of specified style in document1: NCRPB – Summary of Income & Expenditu	re
Account	

Details			Financial Y	ear Ending		
	31/3/2003	31/3/2004	31/3/2005	3/31/2006	3/31/2008	3/31/2009
Plan Expenditure	1,055.55	790.47	432.30	403.89	63.83	252.48
Plan Income	1,796.15	1,584.47	1,190.45	1,136.77	1,141.03	1,411.11
Excess of Plan Income Over Plan	740.60	794.00	758.14	732.88	1,077.20	1,158.63
Expenditure						
Non-Plan Expenditure	15.14	17.94	18.96	18.32	59.99	24.62
Non-Plan Income	15.92	18.03	19.07	18.38	19.55	24.84
Excess of Non-Plan Income Over	0.78	0.10	0.10	0.06	(40.44)	0.22
Non-Plan Expenditure						
Total Expenditure	1,070.69	808.41	451.27	422.21	123.83	277.10
Total Income	1,812.07	1,602.50	1,209.51	1,155.15	1,160.58	1,435.95
Excess of Total Income Over	741.38	794.10	758.25	732.94	1,036.75	1,158.85
Total Expenditure						
Source: NCRPB Annual Reports &	Annual Acc	ounts	•	•	•	

8. NCR Planning Board continued to provide financial assistance to the constituent States / NCT of Delhi and their implementing agencies in the form of loans upto a maximum of 75% of the estimated cost of Projects. The constituent States of NCR/ NCT of Delhi or its implementing agency contributed a minimum of 25% of the project cost as its counter-part share. During the recent years, NCRPB's lending activity had increased considerably and from the FY 2005-06 its annual loan dispersal had crossed Rs 300 crores. Rs 705 crores were distributed as loan to infrastructure development projects during the FY 2007-08 in which transport, power and water supply were the major sectors constituting 81% of the loan dispersal.

9. There recovery rate of interest and installment of principal amount from any State Government or its implementing agencies was found to be good over the years. except one from the Patiala Urban Planning & Development Authority (PDA), Govt. of Punjab in respect of sewerage scheme of Patiala Municipal Corporation

10. In order to meet the gap between budgetary support and actual fund requirement for providing financial assistance for the infrastructure development, the Board raises from the capital market by issuing unsecured redeemable non-convertible taxable bonds periodically. The bonds have also been listed at National Stock Exchange (NSE)-WDM segment.

# EXTRACT OF ARTICLE 243-I & 243-K FROM CLAUSE 2 OF THE CONSTITUTION (73 AMENDMENT) ACT, 1992 WHICH ARE REFERRED TO IN THE CONSTITUTION

# (74<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT ) ACT, 1992

243-I. (1) The Governor of a State shall, as soon as may be within one year from the commencement of the Constitution (Seventy-third Amendment) Act, 1992, and thereafter at the expiration of every fifth year, constitute a Finance Commission to review the financial position of the Panchayats and to make recommendations to the Governor as to :-

(a) the principles which should govern-

(i) the distribution between the State and the Panchayats of the net proceeds of the taxes, duties, tolls and fees leviable by the State, which may be divided between them under this Part and the allocation between the Panchayats at all levels of their respective shares of such proceeds;

(ii) the determination of the taxes, duties, tolls and fees which may be assigned to, or appropriated by, the Panchayats;

(iii) the grants-in-aid to the Panchayats from the Consolidated Fund of the State;

(b) the measures needed to improve the financial position of the Panchayats;

(c) any other matter referred to the Finance Commission by the Governor in the interests of sound finance of the Panchayats.

(2) The Legislature of a State may, by law, provide for the composition of the Commission, the qualifications which shall be requisite for appointment as members thereof and the manner in which they shall be selected.

(3) The Commission shall determine their procedure and shall have such powers in the performance of their functions as the Legislature of the State may, by law, confer on them.

(4) The Governor shall cause every recommendation made by the Commission under this article together with an explanatory memorandum as to the action taken thereon to be laid before the Legislature of the State.

243K(1) The Superintendence, direction and control of the preparation of electoral rolls for, and the conduct of, all elections to the Panchayats shall be vested in a State Election Commission consisting of a State Election Commissioner to be appointed by the Governor.

(2) Subject to the provisions of any law made by the Legislature of a State, the conditions of service and tenure of office of the State Election Commissioner shall be such as the Governor may by rule determine;

Provided that the State Election Commissioner shall not be removed from his office except in like manner and on the like grounds as judge of High Court and the conditions of service of the State Election Commissioner shall not be varied to his disadvantage after his appointment.

(3) The Governor of a State shall, when so requested by the State Election Commission, make available to the State Election Commission such staff as may be necessary for the discharge of the functions conferred on the State Election Commission by Clause (1).

(4) Subject to the provisions of this Constitution, the Legislature of a State may, by law, make provision with respect to all matters relating to, or in connection with elections to the Panchayats.

\*\*\*\*\*

RD

# Appendix 2-3 Impact of 73rd & 74th Amendments on ULBs in Uttar Pradesh

The two historic and much talked about 73rd and 74th amendments to the Constitution of India, envisaged a total change in the process of self-governance and planning. The objectives of the amendments were loud and clear: a better plan and its better implementation.

Consequent to the enactment of the 73rd Constitution Amendment Act Uttar Pradesh enacted appropriate legislations for setting up strong, viable local bodies. The Uttar Pradesh Local Self Government Laws (Amendment) Act 1994 was passed by the legislature of Uttar Pradesh to incorporate the mandatory provisions of the CAA 1992. The new laws came into force from 31.5.1994.

Various aspects of Self Governance, under the Urban Local Bodies systems have been discussed below in greater detail.

# **Urban Local Bodies**

Following the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992, the Government of Uttar Pradesh has taken steps to set up the democratic governance in urban local bodies have been accorded Constitutional Status, they have also been made democratic by way of providing representation of weaker sections of society and women. The functional domain of the ULBs has also been enlarged.

#### **Conformity Legislations in State**

The Uttar Pradesh Local Self Government Laws (Amendment ) Act, 1994 was passed by the legislature of Uttar Pradesh to incorporate the mandatory provisions of the CCA 1992. The new laws came into force from 31.05.1994, Some of the salient changes made in the municipal laws through the Amendment Act, 1994 have been highlighted below.

The U.P. Municipal Corporations Act, 1959 and U.P, Municipalities Act, 1966 have been amended and renamed as U.P. Nagar Nigam Act, 1959 and U.P. Nagar Palika Act 1916, while the United provinces Town Area Act, 1914 has been repeated.

Through these amendments following three categories of Urban Local Bodies have been created in the State:

Nagar Nigams (Municipal Corporations)	12
Nagar Palika Parishads (Municipal Boards)	194
Nagar Panchayats (Town Panchayats)	422
Total:	628

#### **Expanding Functional Domains of ULBs**

As provided under XII th Schedule of the Constitution, following 12 functions have been added to the duties of the urban local bodies:

- o Providing water supply for domestic, industrial and commercial purpose,
- Establishing maintaining and assisting maternity center and child welfare and birth control clinics and promoting control family welfare and small family norm,
- Regulating tanneries,
  - Construction and maintenance of parking lots, bus stops and public convenience:
  - o promoting urban forestry and ecological aspects and protection of the environment.

- Safeguarding the interests of weaker sections of society including the handicapped and mentally retarded,
- Promoting cultural educational and aesthetic aspects
- Constructing and maintaining cattle ponds and preventing cruelty to animals,
- Slum improvement and upgradation,
- Urban poverty alleviation and facilities such as gardens, public parks and play grounds.

#### **Delegation of Rule Making Powers:**

Powers regarding the framing and making the bye-laws has been delegated to the local bodies subject to the only condition that the bye-laws will take effort only after the have been confirmed by the State Government and published in the official gazette.

Previously State Government was empowered by the Act to make rules for Nagar Palika Parishads and Nagar Panchayats. These Powers have now been decentralized and delegated to the Divisional Commissioners, who are the Prescribed Authority for this purpose.

#### **Financial Autonomy**

Financial powers of Nagar Ayukt in case of Nagar Nigams and President in case of Nagar Palika Parishads and Nagar Panchayats have been increased as under:

Type of ULBs	Authority	<b>Financial Powers</b>				
		Before 74th	After74th Amendment			
		Amendment				
Nagar Nigams	Nagar Ayukt	Upto Rs.10,000	UptoRs. 1,00,000			
Nagar Palika Parishads	President	UptoRs. 10,000	UptoRs.50,000			
Nagar Panchayats	President	Upto Rs. 3000	Upto Rs. 15,000			

#### **Devolution of State Revenues**

The First State Finance Commission of U.P. has recommended that 7 percent of net tax receipts of the State Government should be transferred to ULBs. the respective shares of Nagar Nigams, Nagar Palika Parishads and Nagar Panchayats were 3.12%, 3.12% and 0.76%. Inter-se distribution within each category was on the basis of population and area (1991) with respective weight of 80% and 20%. The State Government accepted this recommendations. With this, the flow of funds to ULBs has become regular and its distribution among ULBs has been rationalized and limited to objective criteria. In this context, the Second State Finance Commission of U.P. has recommended that 7.5 percent of net tax receipts of the State Government should be transferred to ULBs. The flow of funds to ULBs has substantially increased in recent years as shown in the table below.

Year	Funds developed (Rs.in crore)
1997-98	415.83
1998-99	504.22
1999-2000	537.89
2000-01	628.92
2001-02	682.31
2002-03	765.74
2003-04	825.00
2004-05	877.00

To improve the financial position of ULBs and compel them to take more internal resources imposition of all taxes enumerated in the Municipal Acts, has been made compulsory since September 1998. Ten percent of devolution share has been linked to the financial performance of ULBs. Previously, the State Government was empowered by the Act, to make rules regarding taxation and other purpose for Nagar Palika Parishad and Nagar Panchayat. These powers have been decentralized and delegated to the Divisional Commissioners, who are the Prescribed Authority for this purpose.

Property Tax constitutes the most important own source of revenue of ULBs. The First Finance Commission made several suggestions to reform the property tax system in the state. which were accepted by the State Government. An area based self-assessment system of property tax has been introduced in 11 Municipal Corporation Towns of the State in the first place to strengthen the financial position of the ULBs.

Inspite of all these measures, the financial position of ULBs in the State contiunes to be precarious and they are often unable to meet expenditure on salaries and other essential services like power dues. As a result, the quality of urban services remains poor Urgent steps are therefore, called to revamp the financial situation of ULBs. The fiscal domain of these bodies needs to be expanded and they have to be persuaded to take steps to raise revenue from their own resources. Assess of these bodies to institutional sources of funding and capital market has to be improved.

Thus the enactment of 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendment Bills 1992 has paved the way for the creation of institutional structures for realizing the goals of self governance under the Panchayati Raj and Urban Local Bodies systems. It has accelerated the socio-economic development through democratic decentralization of governance within a participatory framework at the grassroot level.

# Appendix 2-4: Municipal Financial Performance – Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam

# A. Overview

- 1. Financial sustainability of infrastructure investments is determined based on Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) ability to sustain investments (through debt servicing, equity contribution and operation and maintenance) from the municipal fund. Most sub-projects undertaken in ULBs (in the State and across Ghaziabad) are financially unviable if they are to take recourse to project revenue. Municipal taxes and non-taxes generally supplement the shortfall in debt repayment and O&M. Financial sustainability analysis for Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam is therefore based on the municipal fund's ability to pay for subproject costs. Reforms initiatives are subsequently based on resource mobilization and expenditure management initiatives required for CDP sustainability.
- 2. The current section on Financial Sustainability reviews Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam's fiscal status (Revenue/Current Account and Capital Account) and assesses the GNN's ability to finance and sustain the proposed infrastructure investments.
- 3. Financial sustainability addresses the required as well as appropriate taxation and tariff reforms. Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam Revenue Account and sub-project cash flows take cognizance of policy directives undertaken by Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam in addressing infrastructure investment and sustenance needs the focus is on property taxation, water, sewer/drainage and conservancy charges. While the Nagar Nigam continues to draw a substantial proportion of its income from government grant, in lieu of Octroi, in the long-term, the strategy of GNN shall be to capitalize on the benefits accruing from property taxes. Urban infrastructure investments as part of the city development plan (CDP) should recognize the fact that property taxes pay for capital investments and user charges pay for system operation and maintenance given the aforesaid approach, the financial sustainability analysis focuses on reviewing net cash flows arising out of taxation and tariff reforms.

# **B.** Structure of Municipal Finances in ULB

4. The review of finances involves a time-series analysis of the income and expenditure of the Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) to ascertain the trends and the major sources and uses of funds. In addition to this, certain key financial indicators relating to property tax, water tax, per capita income, per capita expenditure and debt servicing; have been considered to assess the financial performance of the GNN. The booking of transactions in GNN is carried out under major and minor heads. The GNN perform a series of obligatory and discretionary functions according to the powers vested through the Uttar Pradesh. Municipal Corporations Act, 1959 and Uttar Pradesh Local Self Government Laws (Amendment ) Act, 1994. . Under this Act, the GNN is empowered to levy taxes and rates. The Act, allows the GNN to levy property tax, duty on transfers of immovable property in the shape of an additional stamp duty, and tax on advertisements. To improve the financial position of ULBs and compel them to take more internal resources imposition of all taxes enumerated in the Municipal Acts, has been made compulsory since September 1998.

- 5. Property Tax constitutes the most important own source of revenue of ULBs. The First Finance Commission made several suggestions to reform the property tax system in the state. which were accepted by the State Government. An area based self-assessment system of property tax has been introduced in 11 Municipal Corporation Towns of the State in the first place to strengthen the financial position of the ULBs.
- 6. Most of the ULBs including GNN in UP State are following the single entry manual accounting system, which is highly inefficient in terms transparency of information like current liabilities and assets and lack of financial management information. Also, manual accounting system proved time-consuming. Instead, the double entry computerized accounting system will be quick, efficient and systematic. Various items in the balance sheet could now be bifurcated into various components and information for different period was made available. This facilitated fast and efficient strategic decisions.
- 7. While urban local bodies are service organizations, efficient management of municipal finances is imperative to service the urban populace. Key sources of revenue consist of taxes, charges, and state transfers; and key items of expenditure are staff salaries, establishment charges, and operation and maintenance of infrastructure.
- 8. The Municipal Accounts code of Government of Uttar Pradesh prescribes the organization of the municipal fund into three broad categories of General Account, Capital Account and Debt and Suspense (or Extraordinary) Account. Based on the availability, revenue account data collected from the annual accounts of GNN were compiled and analyzed. For the purpose of municipal fiscal assessment, financial data pertaining to the last five years (2001-02 to 2005-06) were compiled in an abstract format (**Table 1**).

					Fię	gures in Lakh IN
SI.						
No.	Details	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
I.	Revenue					
1	Income from Taxes	1,890	2,208	1,669	3,096	2,839
		<b>43</b> .1%	41.3%	32.3%	47.9%	41.7%
2	Other Income	488	875	1,148	887	1,238
		11.1%	1 <b>6.4</b> %	22.2%	13.7%	18.2%
3	Water charges	12	24	24	14	24
		0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%
4	Govt. Transfers/ Grants	1787	2056	2262	2438	2668
		40.8%	38.5%	43.8%	37.7%	<b>39</b> .1%
5	Revenue from Sale	204	178	62	35	46
		4.7%	3.3%	1.2%	0.5%	0.7%
	TOTAL	4,381	5,341	5,165	6,470	6,815
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
II	Expenditure					
1	General Administration	412	435	475	505	583

#### Table 1 : Details of Revenue & Expenditure - Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam

		9.5%	9.3%	9.1%	8.4%	11.6%
	Development Works &					
2	Repairs	1349	1774	1619	1514	1045
		31.2%	37.8%	31.1%	25.0%	20.9%
3	Water Supply & Distribution	698	626	752	1442	1045
		16.2%	13.3%	14.5%	23.8%	20.9%
4	Others	1860	1864	2358	2586	2335
		<b>43.1%</b>	39.7%	45.3%	42.8%	46.6%
	TOTAL	4319	4699	5204	6047	5008
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
III.	Surplus / Deficit	62	642	(39)	423	1,807

# C. Revenue Account

9. The Revenue Account comprises of recurring items of income and expenditure, which are essentially financial transactions related to Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam's daily operations. Accruals to the municipal fund are applied to carry out designated municipal functions and services.



Revenue Account comprises: (i) Revenue Income, comprising taxes, non-taxes, assigned revenues, and grants and contributions; and (ii) Revenue Expenditure, comprising establishment, operation and maintenance (on urban basic services) and debt servicing. **Table** below summarizes the status of GNN's Revenue Account.

Item	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
			Rs. lakh		
Revenue Income	4,381	5,341	5,165	6,470	6,815
Revenue Expenditure	4319	4699	5204	6047	5008
Surplus / Deficit	62	642	(39)	423	1,807

# Table 2: Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam Revenue Account

Source: Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam.

# 1. Revenue Income

10. Revenue income found increased at a compounded annual growth rate (CAGR) of 11.7 percent during the assessment period. Further analysis of Revenue Income components Ghaziabad indicate that own tax revenue found increased at 10.7 percent CAGR and non-tax revenue also increased considerably (26 percent). Major sources of own revenue comprise property tax, other taxes, water charges, revenue grants and other miscellaneous

fees and charges. Property Tax formed as a major component of own tax revenues contributing 42 percent of the total own tax revenues in FY05-06. While property tax should be an important component of internally generated resources, Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam has still potential to exploit. With increasing trend, Revenue grant is one of the

major revenue sources for GNN (39.1 percent). Amongst the non-tax revenues, water charges contributed only 0.4 percent of total revenue income and have found with more fluctuating growth trend.

(i) <u>Own Sources-Tax.</u> This item head comprises of income primarily



sourced from consolidated property tax (general purpose tax, water tax, lighting tax and scavenging tax) and other taxes as a percentage of the Annual Ratable Value (ARV). On an average, through the assessment period, own source/tax income constitutes 41 percent of the GNN's revenue income. Property tax, water and sewer taxes income found with increasing trend whereas other tax components found decreased or fluctuating considerably during the assessment period.

- (ii) <u>Own Sources-Non Tax.</u> This item head comprises of income from municipal properties, fees on municipal services (building permission, etc.), user charges (water charges and connection deposit), road cutting charges, revenue from Ghaziabad Development Authority (GDA).
- (iii) <u>Revenue Grants and Contribution.</u> This item mainly comprises State Finance Commission grants, special establishment grants and other special grants that the State Government may transfer from time-to-time to GNN.

SI.						
No.	Details	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
1	Income from Taxes	1,890	2,208	1,669	3,096	2,839
2	Other Income	488	875	1,148	887	1,238
3	Water charges	12	24	24	14	24
4	Govt. Transfers/ Grants	1787	2056	2262	2438	2668
5	Revenue from Sale	204	178	62	35	46
	TOTAL	4,381	5,341	5,165	6,470	6,815

Table 3: Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam (GNN) Revenue Income

Source: Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam

Figures in Lakh INR

11. Revenue Expenditure. Revenue expenditure has been found to be with steady increase

from FY 2001-02 for four years and started to decline beyond that. Expenditure on general establishment and administration accounted for 12 percent of the total revenue expenditure in FY06. Of the total revenue



expenditure, expenditure on operation and maintenance of municipal services constituted 88 percent. Expenditure on development works mostly of capital works executed through

grant funds and their repair (21 percent), public health and conservancy (35 percent), water supply (21 percent), horticulture (7 percent) and street lighting (3 percent) are found to be the major components in the operation and maintenance expenditure during the review period. Operation and maintenance expenditure has witnessed a



decrease at a CAGR of 3.8 percent and water supply & distribution expenditure has increased at a CAGR of 11 percent.

 Table 1 provides a trend of revenue expenditure items.

SI. No.	Details	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
1	General Administration	412	435	475	505	583
2	Development Works & Repairs	1349	1774	1619	1514	1045
3	Water Supply & Distribution	698	626	752	1442	1045
4	Others	1860	1864	2358	2586	2335
	TOTAL	4319	4699	5204	6047	5008

Table 1: Ghaziabad	Nagar Nigam	Revenue Expenditure
--------------------	-------------	---------------------

Source: Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam.

# D. Water Supply and Distribution Account

12. Assessment of the Water supply Account provides an indication of Ghaziabad Naga Nigam's efforts to recover costs of providing access to safe drinking water.

Table 2 provides a trend of income on water supply and distribution. While there is no separate

account for financial management of water (WS) services, the current analysis is a step in determining the cost recovery options for a sustainable service. Additionally, the assessment also provides insight into options of ring-



fencing the water supply service to determine institutional options of operating the water supply services as a profit centre.

- <u>Income.</u> Water charges including the connection charges constitute almost 100 percent of total water income and 0.4 percent of GNN's revenue income. Low tariffs and even lower collection performance has contributed to this poor income status in the WS Account. There is no separate charge for drainage services.
- <u>Expenditure</u>. O&M expenditure is the major expenditure item for water & sanitation account and Water supply Account constitute 21 percent GNN's revenue expenditure.

Table 2: Water and S	Sanitation Account
----------------------	--------------------

<u></u>						es in Lakh INR
SI. No.	Details	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
1	Income from Water charges Expenditure on Water Supply	12	24	24	14	24
2	& Distribution	698	626	752	1442	1045
3	Surplus / Deficit	-686	-602	-728	-1428	-1021

Source: Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam.

# E. Fiscal Status of GNN

# 1. Key Financial Indicators

- 13. A set of key financial indicators have been derived using the financial data procured from the GNN for the assessment period. These indicators are used to assess the municipal performance with regards resource mobilization, fund utilization, financial performance and collection efficiencies.
- 14. *Resource Mobilization indicators*. These indicators summarize the performance of the GNN with regards sources of funds. GNN derives about 42 per cent of its revenue income from own sources, which is a good sign but with scope for further improvements.
- 15. Fund Application indicators. These indicators are a measure to ascertain the utilization

from the municipal fund. Only 12 per cent of the revenue expenditure is spent on establishment heads, leaving a good amount of over 88 per cent for O&M of municipal assets and services that include 21 percent for water supply account. Establishment expenditure accounts for about 20 per cent of the total own-tax revenue generated by the GNN.

16. Overall Financial Performance indicators. These indicators are a measure to assess the overall financial performance of the municipality with regards operational performance and effective growth in revenue income and expenditure. The average operating ratio during the assessment period was less than unity and it was observed more than unity only for one year during the assessment period (FY 2003-04). The *indicators* of growth in per capita income and expenditure item heads indicate the effective growth, giving a performance measure relative to the growing population. Annual growth in per capita revenue income found to be consistently with increasing trend where as the per capita revenue expenditure during the assessment period, found to be with increasing trend upto FY 2004-05 and declined after that (**Table 6**).

SI.	<b>-</b> /					
No.	Details	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
1	Population	968521	1010446	1054186	1099819	1147428
2	Revenue Income (Rs. Lakhs)	4,381	5,341	5,165	6,470	6,815
3	Revenue Expenditure (Rs. Lakhs)	4319	4699	5204	6047	5008
4	Revenue Account Surplus (Rs. Lakh)	62	642	(39)	423	1,807
5	Per Capita Revenue Income (Rs.)	452	529	490	588	594
6	Per Capita Revenue Expenditure (Rs.)	446	465	494	550	436

Table 6: Details of Percapita Revenue Income and Expenditure - Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam

# 2. Key Issues

- 17. Financial management and fiscal status of Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam is summarized below:
  - (i) <u>Maintenance and Reporting of Accounts.</u> A common accounting and financial reporting code, updated annually, with interim up-dations when required, supported with a user manual and organized training of municipal accounts staff will substantially streamline financial accounting and reporting.
  - (ii) <u>Revenue Realization.</u> Taxes and charges are major own sources of revenue income. Being more dynamic in nature and within the control of the GNN, these revenue incomes have potential to contribute more to the municipal fund. Key issues regarding revenue realization comprise (i) coverage of properties under property tax, (ii) poor collection of water charges; (iii) unclear status on extent of outstanding taxes and charges collectable; and (iv) extent of gap between the cost of service provided and user charges levied.
  - (iii) <u>Fund Application.</u> Key issues regarding application from the municipal fund comprise (i) most of the revenue expenditure is accounted for by establishment item

heads, (ii) an efficient system of costing to enable the actual cost of services provided in a scientific manner thus enabling logical mechanism for tariff structuring and (iii) the absence of information with regard to actual assets and liabilities of GNN at a particular point of time render it difficult to ascertain the actual fiscal position.

(iv) Operating Ratio. Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam has mostly maintained an operating ratio (OR) less than unity (ranging from 0.73 in FY06 to 0.99 in FY02) during the assessment year, except for one year with more than unity. A key reason for the mixed performance is tax revenue collection and expenditure management. However, Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam has untapped revenue potentials from property tax and water charges. While the OR indicates efficiency in revenue management, inability to capitalize on property tax and user charge revenues indicates over dependency on revenue grants and a level of complacency regarding other revenue income sources.

#### **Box 1: Municipal Finance Sector Goal**

#### Key Issues

- Maintenance and Reporting of Accounts
- Revenue Realization
- Fund Application
- Operating Ratio

#### **Future Strategies**

- A common accounting and financial reporting code, updated annually, with interim updations when required, supported with a user manual
- Widening the coverage of taxes and charges with better collection efficiency
- Sustainable and efficient tariff structure
- Upto-date information with regard to actual assets and liabilities
- Increase the revenue base and control over the revenue expenditure so as to have operating ratio with less than unity continuously.

#### **Prospective Interventions:**

- double entry computerized accounting system with adequate training to municipal officials
- Development of GIS based property and other service details through MIS and achievement of 85 percent collection efficiency for both property tax and water charges
- Sector based accounting system for service deliveries and sustainable tariff system that ensure full O&M recovery and part capital cost recovery
- Detailed MIS on assets and liabilities for better fiscal control
- Increase the revenue base through own sources and better control over establishment expenditure

#### Table 1: Details of Revenue & Expenditure Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam

	0	T	[	1	1						1	г <u> </u>		TT								Figures in	n Lakh INR
SI.	AACGR (%)	AACGR (%)	•																				
No. Details	(2002 - 08)	(2005 - 08)	Growth (2010-18)	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
I. Revenue																							
1 Income from Taxes	14 6400	15.000/	10.000/	707	050	0.00	1.005	1.1(2)	1 1 5 7	1.650	1.015	1.007	2.100	2.416	0.657	2.022	2.215	2 527	2 001	4 200	4 700	5 179	5 (0)
i) House Tax	14.64%	15.00%	10.00%	727	850	866	1,085	1,162	1,157	1,650	1,815	1,997	2,196	2,416	2,657	2,923	3,215	3,537	3,891	4,280	4,708	5,178	5,696
ii) Water Tax	19.41%		10.00%	345	469	478	590	709	705	1,000	1,100	1,210	1,331	1,464	1,611	1,772	1,949	2,144	2,358	2,594	2,853	3,138	3,452
iii) Sewer Tax	16.73%	15.30%	10.00%	166	201	203	274	324	337	420	462	508	559	615	676	744	818	900	990	1,089	1,198	1,318	1,450
iii) Other Taxes	-17.14%		5.00%	652	688	122	1,147	644	27	211	222	233	244	256	269	283	297	312	327	344	361	379	398
Total Taxes	9.63%	1.95%		1,890	2,208	1,669	3,096	2,839	2,226	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281	3,281
	10.000	5 110/	10.000/	43.1%	41.3%	32.3%	47.9%	41.7%	36.6%	24.0%	22.3%	20.7%	19.2%	17.8%	16.4%	15.2%	14.0%	12.9%	11.9%	10.9%	10.0%	9.2%	8.4%
2 Other Income	13.26%	5.11%	10.00%	488	875	1,148	887	1,238	413	1,030	1,133	1,246	1,371	1,508	1,659	1,825	2,007	2,208	2,429	2,672	2,939	3,233	3,556
				11.1%	16.4%	22.2%	13.7%	18.2%	6.8%	7.5%	7.7%	7.9%	8.0%	8.2%	8.3%	8.4%	8.6%	8.7%	8.8%	8.9%	9.0%	9.1%	9.1%
3 Water charges	-25.82%	-47.72%	5.00%	12	24	24	14	24	4	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4
				0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	11.000	55.070	10.000/																				
4 Govt. Transfers/ Grants	11.66%	55.87%	10.00%	1787	2056	2262	2438	2668	3102	9233	10,156	11,172	12,289	13,518	14,870	16,357	17,993	19,792	21,771	23,948	26,343	28,977	31,875
				40.8%	38.5%	43.8%	37.7%	39.1%	51.0%	67.6%	69.1%	70.6%	71.9%	73.2%	74.5%	75.6%	76.7%	77.7%	78.7%	79.6%	80.4%	81.1%	81.9%
													/ .				, .		, .				
5 Revenue from Sale	-8.46%	50.79%	5.00%	204	178	62	35	46	342	120	126	132	139	146	153	161	169	177	186	195	205	216	226
				4.7%	3.3%	1.2%	0.5%	0.7%	5.6%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
				1.7 70	5.570	1.270	0.070	0.7 70	5.070	0.970	0.570	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070		0.770	0.770	01770	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070
							< <b>1</b> 70				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	20.88%	28.31%		4,381	5,341	5,165	6,470	6,815	6,087	13,666	14,698	15,834	17,082	18,455	19,965	21,626	23,452	25,461	27,670	30,099	32,771	35,710	38,942
				100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
II Expenditure																							
1 General Administration	8.82%	10.64%	10.00%	412	435		505	583	623	684		828	910	1,001	1,102	1,212	1,333	1,466	1,613	1,774	1,952	2,147	2,361
				9.5%	9.3%	9.1%	8.4%	11.6%	10.8%	9.7%	9.3%	9.5%	9.7%	9.8%	10.0%	10.1%	10.2%	10.2%	10.2%	10.2%	10.2%	10.2%	10.1%
2 Health & Sanitation Work	1										-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
i) Salaries	4.11%	6.48%	5.00%	952	872	1,055	1,004	966	1,175	1,212	1,273	1,336	1,403	1,473	1,547	1,624	1,705	1,791	1,880	1,974	2,073	2,177	2,285
ii) Contracted Sanitation																							
Works	22.08%	16.85%	25.00%	187	249	298	388	452	443	619	774	967	1,209	1,511	1,889	2,361	2,952	3,690	4,612	5,765	7,206	9,008	11,260
iii) Repairs &																							
Maintenance of																							
Sanitation Implements	7.92%	28.81%	10.00%	69	107	82	51	56	95	109	120	132	145	160	176	193	212	234	257	283	311	342	376
iv) Diesel, etc	23.06%	15.45%	25.00%	74	109	120	167	187	199	257	321	402	502	627	784	980	1,225	1,532	1,915	2,393	2,992	3,740	4,675
v) Others	2.97%	26.45%	5.00%	78	33	48	46	84	87	93	98	103	108	113	119	125	131	137	144	151	159	167	175
				31.2%	37.8%	31.1%	25.0%	20.9%	19.9%	24.6%	22.5%	21.9%	21.4%	20.7%	20.1%	19.4%	18.6%	17.9%	17.1%	16.4%	15.6%	14.8%	14.0%
3 Water Supply & Distribut	i 13.96%	1.97%	15.00%	698	626	752	1442	1045	1266	1529	1,758	2,022	2,325	2,674	3,075	3,537	4,067	4,677	5,379	6,186	7,114	8,181	9,408
				16.2%	13.3%	14.5%	23.8%	20.9%	21.9%	21.7%	21.6%	23.2%	24.7%	26.3%	27.8%	29.4%	31.0%	32.6%	34.2%	35.7%	37.3%	38.8%	40.3%
4 Others	8.53%	-4.23%	10.00%	500	494	755	930	590	681	817	899	989	1,087	1,196	1,316	1,447	1,592	1,751	1,926	2,119	2,331	2,564	2,821
				11.6%	10.5%	14.5%	15.4%	11.8%	11.8%	11.6%	11.1%	11.3%	11.5%	11.7%	11.9%	12.0%	12.1%	12.2%	12.2%	12.2%	12.2%	12.2%	12.1%
5 Loan Repayment	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	15.00%						61.0	0.0	600	690	794	913	1,049	1,207	1,388	1,596	1,835	2,111	2,427	2,791	3,210
¥ ¥				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	7.4%	7.9%	8.4%	9.0%	9.5%	10.0%	10.6%	11.1%	11.7%	12.2%	12.7%	13.2%	13.7%
TOTAL	8.53%	5.29%		4319			6047	5008	5782	7058					11050		13116	14349		17311	19086	21094	23367
III. Surplus / Deficit				62	642	(39)	423	1,807	305	6.608	6,574		7,664		8,915	9,604	10,337	11,112		12,789	13,685	14,616	15,575
F						(0)		_,			-,	.,	.,	-,		- ,				,,			,
Project Cash Flow					1																		
Inflow																							
Loan Drawdown													1,521	2,281	-								
Incremental Project Reven	nues												,	,	468	471	474	547	550	554	640	643	647
Total Inflow													1,521	2,281	468	471	474	547	550	554	640	643	647
													,	,									
Outflow																							
CAPEX (Equity + Loan)													2,027	3,041	-								
Incremental O&M a/ b/													,	,		162	178	196	216	238	261	287	316
IV Debt Service				1																			220
Intrest																479	299	257	214	171	128	86	43
Loan Repayment															0	475	475	475		475	475	475	475
Total Debt Service															0	954	775	732		646	603	561	518
Total Outflow													2,027	3,041	-	1,116	953	928	905	884	865	848	834
us / Deficit After Debt Service				62	642	(39)	423	1,807	305	6,608	6,574	7,099	7,157	7,508	9,383	8,959	9,858	10,731		12,459	13,460	14,411	15,388
b/ 10% Annual increse in		M is considered	 	02	072	(57)	-43	1,007	505	0,000	0,014	1,077	7,137	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0,00	,050	10,751	11,070	12,757	13,400	17,711	15,500
Operating Ratio			•	0.99	0.88	1.01	0.93	0.73	0.95	0.52	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.57	0.58	0.58	0.59	0.60
Operating Kano	1	1		0.99	0.00	1.01	0.93	0.75	0.93	0.32	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.50	0.50	0.30	0.57	0.58	0.38	0.59	0.00

#### Appendix 2-5: Financial Projection of Ghaziabad Nagar Nigam and Project Impact Analysis (Bus Terminal)

Figures in Lakh INR

# www.WilburSmith.com



#8, Second Floor, 80 Feet Road, RT Nagar Bangalore Karnataka - 560 032. India w +91.80. 3918.7500 f+91.80. 2363.4097